Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus (Review)

Doyle LW, Crowther CA, Middleton P, Marret S



This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2008, Issue 4

http://www.thecochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	3
BACKGROUND	3
OBJECTIVES	4
METHODS	4
RESULTS	7
DISCUSSION	11
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	11
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
REFERENCES	12
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	15
DATA AND ANALYSES	19
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).	2.4
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 2 Fetal death	26
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 2 Livebirth deaths	28
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 4 Cerebral palsy	33
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 5 Any neurologic impairment	35
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 6 Substantial gross motor dysfunction	36
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium. Outcome 0 Substantial gross motor dystunction.	20
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 7 Dimeness	20
Analysis 1.0. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 8 Deamess	59
Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 9 Developmental delay or intellectual	41
	40
Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 10 Major neurologic disability.	42
Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 11 Death or cerebral paisy.	44
Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 12 Death or any neurologic impairment.	40
Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 13 Death or substantial gross motor	4/
	(0
Analysis 1.14. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 14 Death or major neurologic disability.	49
Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 15 Maternal mortality.	50
Analysis 1.16. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 16 Maternal cardiac arrest	51
Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 17 Maternal respiratory arrest	51
Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 18 Cessation of maternal therapy	52
Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 19 Intraventricular haemorrhage	52
Analysis 1.20. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 20 Cystic periventricular leucomalacia.	53
Analysis 1.21. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 21 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	53
Analysis 1.22. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 22 Neonatal convulsions	54
Analysis 1.23. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 23 Ongoing respiratory support	54
Analysis 1.24. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 24 Chronic lung disease	55
Analysis 1.25. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 25 Maternal hypotension.	56
Analysis 1.26. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 26 Maternal tachycardia	57
Analysis 1.27. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 27 Maternal respiratory depression	57
Analysis 1.28. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 28 Postpartum haemorrhage	58
Analysis 1.29. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 29 Caesarean birth	58
Analysis 1.30. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 30 Mother admitted to intensive care unit.	59
Analysis 1.31. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 31 Duration of mother's hospital stay (days).	59
Analysis 1.32. Comparison 1 Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 32 Duration of primary hospital stay for	60
baby (days).	
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).	60
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.	62
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment.	64

i

Analysis 2.4.	Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability.	65
Analysis 2.5.	Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy	67
Analysis 2.6.	Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment	69
Analysis 2.7.	Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 7 Death or major neurological disability	70
Analysis 3.1.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).	72
Analysis 3.2.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.	74
Analysis 3.3.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment	76
Analysis 3.4.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability	78
Analysis 3.5.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy	80
Analysis 3.6.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.	82
Analysis 3.7.	Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 7 Death or major neurologic disability.	84
Analysis 4.1.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)	86
Analysis 4.2.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.	88
Analysis 4.3.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment	91
Analysis 4.4.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability.	92
Analysis 4.5.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy	93
Analysis 4.6.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.	95
Analysis 4.7.	Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 7 Death or major neurological disability.	96
Analysis 5.1.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)	97
Analysis 5.2.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.	98
Analysis 5.3.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment	98
Analysis 5.4.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability	99
Analysis 5.5.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy.	99
Analysis 5.6.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.	100
Analysis 5.7.	Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 7 Death or major neurological disability	100
WHAT'S NEW .		100
HISTORY		101
CONTRIBUTION	NS OF AUTHORS	101
DECLARATIONS	GOF INTEREST	101
SOURCES OF SU	JPPORT	101
INDEX TERMS		101

[Intervention review]

Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Lex W Doyle¹, Caroline A Crowther², Philippa Middleton³, Stephane Marret⁴

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia. ²Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia. ³ARCH: Australian Research Centre for Health of Women and Babies, Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia. ⁴Department of Neonatal Medicine, University Hospital, Rouen, Rouen cedex, France

Contact address: Lex W Doyle, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, The Royal Women's Hospital, 132 Grattan Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3053, Australia. lwd@unimelb.edu.au. (Editorial group: Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group.)

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 4, 2008 (Status in this issue: Edited) Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004661.pub2

This version first published online: 18 July 2007 in Issue 3, 2007. Re-published online with edits: 8 October 2008 in Issue 4, 2008. Last assessed as up-to-date: 28 April 2007. (Dates and statuses?)

This record should be cited as: Doyle LW, Crowther CA, Middleton P, Marret S. Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD004661. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004661.pub2.

ABSTRACT

Background

Epidemiological and basic science evidence suggests that magnesium sulphate before birth may be neuroprotective for the fetus.

Objectives

To assess the effectiveness and safety of magnesium sulphate as a neuroprotective agent when given to women considered at risk of preterm birth.

Search strategy

We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (October 2006), CENTRAL (*The Cochrane Library* 2006, Issue 3), MEDLINE (1966 to October 2006), EMBASE (1980 to October 2006), Current Contents (1992 to October 2006), references of retrieved articles, and abstracts submitted to the Society for Pediatric Research (1996 to 2006).

Selection criteria

Randomised controlled trials of antenatal magnesium sulphate therapy given to women threatening or likely to give birth at less than 37 weeks' gestational age.

Data collection and analysis

We independently extracted data regarding clinical outcomes including paediatric mortality, neurologic outcome of survivors (including blindness, deafness, cerebral palsy and major neurosensory disability), and maternal complications and side-effects. At least two authors assessed trial eligibility and quality, and extracted data.

Main results

Four trials (3701 babies) were eligible for this review. No statistically significant effect of antenatal magnesium sulphate therapy was detected on any major paediatric outcome, including mortality (e.g., paediatric mortality relative risk (RR) 0.97; 95% confidence

interval (CI) 0.74 to 1.28; four trials; 3701 infants), and neurological outcomes in the first few years of life, including cerebral palsy (RR 0.77; 95% CI 0.56 to 1.06; four trials; 3701 infants), neurological impairments or disabilities. There were also no significant effects of antenatal magnesium therapy on combined rates of mortality with neurologic outcomes. There was a significant reduction in the rate of substantial gross motor dysfunction (RR 0.56; 95% CI 0.33 to 0.97; two trials; 2848 infants). There were higher rates of minor maternal side-effects in the magnesium groups, but no significant effects on major maternal complications.

Authors' conclusions

The role for antenatal magnesium sulphate therapy as a neuroprotective agent for the preterm fetus is not yet established. Given the possible beneficial effects of magnesium sulphate on gross motor function in early childhood, outcomes later in childhood should be evaluated to determine the presence or absence of later potentially important neurologic effects, particularly on motor or cognitive function. Further information will be available from one of the studies where outcomes are being evaluated again at eight to nine years of age, and from another trial currently in progress.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Currently there is not enough evidence to show if magnesium sulphate given to women at risk of preterm birth might help to protect the baby's brain and improve long-term outcomes.

Babies born too early (preterm) have a higher risk of dying in the first weeks of life than babies born at term, and those who survive often have damage to their nerves in the form of cerebral palsy, blindness, deafness or physical disabilities. This can cause huge distress for parents. Magnesium is an important element essential for normal body functions. Magnesium sulphate is used to reduce the risk of fits, i.e., it is an anticonvulsant drug, in women with very high blood pressure in pregnancy. So, theoretically, it may help to reduce the effect of damaging events to a preterm baby's brain. However, it has adverse effects in the mother of flushing, sweating, nausea, vomiting, headaches and a rapid heartbeat (palpitations). This review identified four studies involving 3701 infants, but it cannot conclusively confirm if magnesium sulphate therapy protects the unborn baby's brain. Further research (yet to be published) is needed to show if magnesium can provide these benefits.

BACKGROUND

Preterm birth and neurological outcome

Infants born preterm have a higher risk of dying in the first weeks of life. If they survive, they have a greater risk of neurologic impairments, such as cerebral palsy, blindness, deafness, or cognitive dysfunction (either developmental delay, or intellectual impairment), and a greater risk of substantial disability as a result of these neurologic impairments (Doyle 2001; VICS 1997). Moreover, as the rate of preterm birth is rising, up to 12.7% in the United States in 2005 (Hamilton 2006), more babies are at risk of death and adverse neurological outcomes. Cerebral palsy and cognitive dysfunction are the most frequent neurologic impairments, and any therapy that can reduce their prevalence should have a substantial effect on reducing overall neurologic impairments and disabilities in surviving preterm infants.

Cerebral palsy is a term which includes a number of different diseases or conditions that can arise at any time during brain development that involves a disorder of movement or posture, or both, and a disorder of motor function which is permanent but may change over time (Oxford Register 2001; SCPE 2000). The cerebral palsies remain the most frequent cause of severe motor disability in childhood with a background prevalence of two per thousand live births (Oxford Register 2001; Stanley 1994). The life expectancy shows 92% of affected children surviving to 20 years (Hutton 1994), contributing substantially to the burden of illness into adulthood.

Very preterm birth (less than 34 weeks) and very low birthweight (less than 1500 g) are principal risk factors for cerebral palsy (Drummond 2002; Lorenz 1998; Pharoah 1998) making up between 17% to 28% of all cases of cerebral palsy. Over 10% of all preterm births are from a multiple pregnancy with higher rates of cerebral palsy than singleton pregnancies. Twins have seven times and triplets 47 times the risk of cerebral palsy compared with singletons (Petterson 1993). Evidence from population-based registries shows that the prevalence of cerebral palsy in low and very low birthweight infants is rising (Drummond 2002; Hagberg 2001; Oxford Register 2001; Stanley 1992). However, not all population-based registries have reported an increase in cerebral palsy in very low birthweight survivors; some have reported a decrease (Himmelmann 2005; Surman 2003). Although suspected from earlier birthweight analyses, Drummond's registry study confirms that the increasing prevalence of cerebral palsy is from higher rates in preterm, not term, infants (Drummond 2002). Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) is a known risk factor for the later development of cerebral palsy (Kuban 1994) with the risk of IVH increasing the earlier the gestational age at birth (Vermeulen 2001).

In order to reduce the impact of cerebral palsy from very preterm birth, efforts must be focussed on primary prevention.

A possible role for magnesium

The first report that prenatal magnesium sulphate was associated with a reduction in risk of IVH, from 18.9% to 4.4%, in babies born with a birthweight less than 1500 g was by Kuban and colleagues in 1992 (Kuban 1992). A case-control analysis from the California Cerebral Palsy project investigated whether in utero exposure to magnesium sulphate was associated with a lower prevalence of cerebral palsy in infants born weighing less than 1500 g (Nelson 1995). Cases were children with cerebral palsy who were singletons and whose birthweight had been less than 1500 g. Controls were randomly sampled from live births of less than 1500 g from the same birth populations. Magnesium sulphate given to the mother during labour was associated with a marked reduction in the risk of cerebral palsy (odds ratio 0.14; 95% confidence interval 0.05 to 0.51).

Other observational studies have supported a reduction in cerebral palsy in preterm infants by maternal administration of magnesium sulphate (Hauth 1995; Schendel 1996; Wiswell 1996) and some have found a reduction in the risk of IVH (Finesmith 1997;

Perlman 1994; Wiswell 1996) and perinatal mortality (Grether 1998). However, not all observational studies have reported benefit for prenatal magnesium sulphate on the risk of IVH (Canterino 1999; Kimberlin 1998; Paneth 1997; Weintraub 2001), cerebral palsy (Grether 2000; O'Shea 1998; Paneth 1997) or perinatal mortality (Kimberlin 1998). However, observational studies alone cannot be the basis for changing clinical practice.

Animal studies have shown that magnesium can provide a neuroprotective effect (McDonald 1990). It can prevent posthypoxic brain injury by blocking the excess release of glutamate in the calcium channel. Fetal and newborn brains seem to be more susceptible to damage from glutamate release. Consequently, blocking glutamate receptors through agents such as magnesium may reduce the risk of injury in the perinatal period (Espinoza 1991).

Magnesium sulphate is widely used in obstetrics as an anticonvulsant for the treatment of eclampsia (Duley 2000; Duley 2003a; Duley 2003b), prevention of eclampsia in women with preeclampsia (Duley 2003c; Sibai 2003) and has been used as a tocolytic, although it lacks efficacy for inhibition of preterm labour (Crowther 2002).

Magnesium sulphate, by its peripheral vasodilator effects when infused intravenously, produces flushing, sweating, and a sensation of warmth. Reported maternal side-effects, related to dosage and speed of infusion, include nausea, vomiting, headache, palpitations and rarely pulmonary oedema. Administration to levels above the recommended therapeutic range can lead to respiratory depression, respiratory arrest, cardiac arrest and death. For the neonate, hypermagnesaemia can lead to hyporeflexia, poor sucking, and, rarely, respiratory depression needing mechanical ventilation (Levene 1995; Lipsitz 1971).

This review assesses the effectiveness and safety of magnesium sulphate given to women considered to be at risk of preterm birth, as a neuroprotective agent for their baby.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the effectiveness and safety, using the best available evidence, of magnesium sulphate as a neuroprotective agent when given to women considered to be at risk of preterm birth.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

All published, unpublished and ongoing randomised trials with reported data comparing outcomes for women at risk of preterm birth given prenatal magnesium sulphate with outcomes in controls, whether treated or not with placebo. Trials were included if the primary aim of the study was to prevent neurologic abnormalities in the unborn baby, or if the primary aim was otherwise but long-term neurological outcomes were reported for the infants. The trials had to use some form of random allocation and report data on one or more of the prestated outcomes. Quasi-randomised trials were excluded.

Types of participants

Women considered to be at risk of preterm birth. We planned predefined subgroups to examine separately the primary outcomes for women and infants based on the primary intent of the study (neuroprotection or other reason such as tocolysis or preventing or treating eclampsia), the reasons the woman was considered to be at risk for preterm birth, the number of infants in utero (singleton, twin or higher order multiple pregnancy), the presence or absence of ruptured membranes at trial entry, and whether prenatal corticosteroids had been given.

Types of interventions

Magnesium sulphate given to the women at risk of preterm birth, administered intravenously, intramuscularly or orally, compared with either placebo or no placebo. Trials where magnesium sulphate was used with the prime aim of tocolysis (Crowther 2002), prevention and treatment of eclampsia (Duley 2000; Duley 2003a; Duley 2003b), maintenance therapy after preterm labour (Crowther 1998) or as a dietary supplement in pregnancy (Makrides 2001) were not included unless they reported long-term neurologic outcomes in the children as those trials are covered in these separate Cochrane reviews.

We planned predefined subgroups that examined separately the primary outcomes for women and infants based on the magnesium preparation given, the dosage given, the mode of administration, and the gestational age at which the treatment was given.

Types of outcome measures

We prespecified clinically relevant outcomes after discussion.

Primary outcomes

We chose primary outcomes to be most representative of the clinically important measures of effectiveness and safety, including serious outcomes, for the women and their infants. We recognised that the list of outcomes was extensive and that data for some may not be available but we wanted to encapsulate the types of outcomes that may be of concern to clinicians caring for both the mother and the baby, both now and in the future. In so doing, we also recognised the increased possibility of type I errors because multiple outcomes would be evaluated. Combined outcomes were used for the main analyses, rather than all their components.

For the infants/children

- Fetal, neonatal or later death.
- Neurologic impairments (developmental delay or intellectual impairment [developmental quotient or intelligence quotient less than one standard deviation {SD} below the mean], cerebral palsy [abnormality of tone with motor dysfunction], blindness [corrected visual acuity worse than 6/60 in the better eye], or deafness [hearing loss requiring amplification or worse]), or neurologic disabilities [abnormal neurologic function caused by any of the preceding impairments] at follow up later in childhood. Substantial gross motor dysfunction [defined as motor dysfunction such that the child was not walking at age two years or later].
- Major neurologic disability (defined as any of: legal blindness, sensorineural deafness requiring hearing aids, moderate or severe cerebral palsy, or developmental delay/intellectual impairment [defined as developmental quotient or intelligence quotient less than two SD below the mean]).
- Paediatric mortality combined with cerebral palsy, substantial gross motor dysfunction, neurological impairment, or major neurologic disability (these latter outcomes recognise the competing risks of death or survival with neurologic problems).

The major paediatric outcomes were death or neurological (cerebral palsy, impairment or disability), or combinations of death with the neurological outcomes.

For the women

- Serious adverse cardiovascular/respiratory outcome (maternal death, respiratory arrest, cardiac arrest).
- Adverse effects severe enough to stop treatment.

Secondary outcomes

These include other measures of effectiveness, complications, satisfaction with care and health service use.

For the infant

- Any intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH).
- IVH grade 3/4.
- Cystic periventricular leucomalacia.
- Apgar score less than seven at five minutes.
- Need for active resuscitation (assisted ventilation via an endotracheal tube) at birth.
- Neonatal convulsions.
- Neonatal hypotonia.
- Use of respiratory support (mechanical ventilation or continuous positive airways pressure, or both).
- Chronic lung disease (need for continuous, supplemental oxygen at 28 days postnatal age or 36 weeks' postmenstrual age).

• Use of postnatal corticosteroids.

For the child

- Growth assessments at childhood follow up (weight, head circumference, length/height).
- Educational achievements.

For the woman

- Blood pressure changes during infusion.
- Respiratory rate changes during infusion.
- Pulse rate at birth changes during infusion.
- Length of labour.
- Need for augmentation of labour.
- Postpartum haemorrhage.
- Mode of birth.
- Intrapartum fever requiring the use of antibiotics.
- Breastfeeding after hospital discharge.
- Women's satisfaction with the therapy.

Use of health services

- Length of postnatal hospitalisation for the women.
- Admission to intensive care unit for the mother.
- Admission to neonatal intensive care.
- Length of stay in neonatal intensive care unit.
- Length of neonatal hospitalisation.
- Costs of care for mother or baby, or both.

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register by contacting the Trials Search Co-ordinator (October 2006).

The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register is maintained by the Trials Search Co-ordinator and contains trials identified from:

- 1. quarterly searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL);
- 2. monthly searches of MEDLINE;
- 3. handsearches of 30 journals and the proceedings of major conferences;
- 4. weekly current awareness search of a further 36 journals plus BioMed Central email alerts.

Details of the search strategies for CENTRAL and MEDLINE, the list of handsearched journals and conference proceedings, and the list of journals reviewed via the current awareness service can be found in the 'Search strategies for identification of studies' section within the editorial information about the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group.

Trials identified through the searching activities described above are given a code (or codes) depending on the topic. The codes are linked to review topics. The Trials Search Co-ordinator searches the register for each review using these codes rather than keywords. In addition, we searched CENTRAL (*The Cochrane Library* 2006, Issue 3), MEDLINE (1966 to October 2006), EMBASE (1980 to October 2006) and Current Contents (1992 to October 2006), using the terms 'magnesium near pregnan*' and 'magnesium near preterm or pre-term', combined with terms related to the main outcomes, including 'mortality', 'survival', 'cerebral palsy', 'deaf*', blind*', 'intellectual impairment', 'developmental delay', 'impairment', and 'disability'. We performed a manual search of the relevant references from retrieved articles. We searched abstracts submitted to major international congresses, such as the Society for Pediatric Research (1996 to 2006).

We did not apply any language restrictions.

Data collection and analysis

At least two review authors evaluated trials under consideration for inclusion without consideration of their results. We also assessed the methodological quality of trials independently. We resolved differences of opinion by discussion. There was no blinding of authorship. We processed included trial data as described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2005a). Where one of the authors was a chief investigator in a trial included in the review, at least one other author also extracted data.

In assessing selection bias, we examined the processes involved in the generation of the random sequence and the method of allocation concealment separately. These were then judged as adequate or inadequate using the criteria described in Section VI of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2005b): A = adequate, B = unclear, C = inadequate, D = not used. Studies rated as C or D were excluded.

We examined performance bias as to whom was blinded in the trials. We sought details of the feasibility and appropriateness of blinding for participant, caregiver, outcome assessment and data analysis, categorised as below:

(A) not known or not likely to guess the allocated treatment;

(B) side-effects of one or other treatment, so that it is likely that for a significant proportion (more than 20%) of participants the allocation could be correctly identified;

(C) knew (or were likely to guess) the allocated treatment;(D) unclear.

In addition, we assigned scores to each trial for use of a placebo and the completeness of follow up as follows.

Use of placebo

- (A) Placebo used;
- (B) attempt at a placebo;
- (C) no placebo;

(D) unclear.

Completeness of outcome reporting of randomised mothers

- (A) Less than 3% of participants excluded;
- (B) 3% to 9.9% of participants excluded;
- (C) 10% to 19.9% of participants excluded;
- (D) 20% or more excluded;

(E) unclear.

Completeness of follow up of randomised infants

(A) Less than 10% of surviving infants not followed;

(B) 10% to 19.9% of surviving infants not followed;

(C) 20% to 29.9% of surviving infants not followed;

(D) 30% or more surviving infants not followed;

(E) unclear.

We included outcome data in the analyses if they met the prestated criteria in 'Types of outcome measures'. We processed included trial data as described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2005a). We extracted the data independently, which were then double entered. We resolved discrepancies by discussion. There was no blinding of authorship. Whenever possible, we sought unpublished data and information about quality issues that were unclear from investigators.

We performed statistical analyses using the Review Manager software (RevMan 2003) and compared categorical data using relative risks and 95% confidence intervals. We tested for statistical heterogeneity between trials using the I² statistic. If substantial heterogeneity was found (I² greater than 50%), we used a randomeffects model, in addition to exploring subgroup analyses. In addition, statistically significant differences between subgroups for primary outcomes were analysed by chi-squared analysis, where possible.

We analysed data extracted from the trials on an intention-totreat basis. Where this was not done in the original report, we performed re-analysis where possible. If missing data were such that it might significantly affect the results, we excluded these data from the analysis. This decision rested with the review authors and was clearly documented. If missing data become available subsequently, they will be included in the analyses.

A priori it was decided that all eligible trials would be included in the initial analysis and sensitivity analyses carried out to evaluate the effect of trial quality including aspects of selection, performance and attrition bias. This was done by subgrouping for quality of concealment of treatment allocation using the grading A or B and other sensitivity analyses based on the quality assessments as specified above.

We planned a subgroup analysis for the major paediatric outcomes of mortality and long-term neurologic morbidity according to whether the primary intention of administering magnesium sulphate was for neuroprotection of the fetus, as distinct from other

indications. We also planned subgroup analyses to examine separately the major paediatric outcomes of mortality and long-term neurologic morbidity based on the reasons the woman was considered to be at risk of preterm birth, the number of babies in utero (singleton or multiple), the presence or absence of ruptured membranes at trial entry, the use of prenatal corticosteroids in more than 50% of those at risk, the type of magnesium preparation given, the dosage of magnesium sulphate given, its mode of administration, and the gestational age at which it was given. We limited primary analysis to the prespecified outcomes and subgroup analyses. In the event of differences in outcomes not prespecified being found, we clearly identified them as such.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: Characteristics of included studies; Characteristics of ongoing studies.

Four trials (3701 babies) qualified for inclusion in this review, one from Australia and New Zealand (Crowther 1998), one from the US (Mittendorf 2002), one from France (Marret 2006), and one that was worldwide, but predominantly from developing countries (Magpie 2006) (see 'Characteristics of included studies' table). The first three trials specifically targeted women who were likely to give birth early and magnesium was being used for neuroprotection, although one study (Mittendorf 2002) also had a tocolytic arm to the study. The fourth study, the MAGPIE trial (Magpie 2006) was designed to prevent eclampsia in women with pre-eclampsia and included women at all gestational ages. Data from the MAGPIE study relevant to women less than 37 weeks when randomised have been provided by the authors for inclusion in this review. Mittendorf 2002 (neuroprotection/other intent - tocolysis) - A total of 149 women in preterm labour 25 to 33 weeks' gestation were enrolled from October 1995 to January 1997 at a single US centre. Women were excluded if there was non-reassuring fetal assessment, or clinical features of infection or pre-eclampsia, or more than twin pregnancy. Stratification was by race (black versus other), gestational age (25 to 28 weeks and 28 to 33 weeks), and, several months into the trial, plurality (singleton versus twin). There were two treatment strategies dependent upon cervical dilatation at entry: those with active labour and cervical dilatation less than 5 cm were considered candidates for tocolysis with magnesium sulphate (the 'tocolytic' arm); they were randomly allocated to receive magnesium sulphate as a 4 g bolus followed by 2 to 3 g/hour maintenance (n = 46 women, 55 babies), or an alternative tocolytic (non-blinded) (n = 46 women, 51 babies). The remainder (with cervical dilatation greater than 4 cm) were considered for the 'neuroprotective' arm of the study and were randomly allocated to either a 4 g magnesium sulphate bolus (n

= 29 women, 30 babies) or saline placebo (n = 28 women, 29

babies). For the purposes of this review, the Mittendorf study was considered as two separate trials.

Crowther 1998 (neuroprotection) - A total of 1062 women with babies less than 30 weeks' gestation and in whom birth was anticipated within 24 hours were enrolled from February 1996 to September 2000. Women were excluded if birth was imminent (they were in second stage of labour), if they had already received magnesium sulphate during the pregnancy, or if there were contraindications to magnesium sulphate therapy. There were 16 collaborating centres within Australia and New Zealand. Stratification was by centre and multiple pregnancy (three groups - singleton, twin or higher order multiple). Women were randomly allocated to either intravenous magnesium sulphate (n = 535 women, 629 live babies) or an identical volume of saline placebo (n = 527 women, 626 live babies). The magnesium sulphate dose was 4 g over 20 minutes, followed by 1 g/hour for up to 24 hours or until birth, whichever came first. There were no repeat courses of treatment.

Magpie 2006 (other intent - neuroprotection of the mother) - A total of 10,141 women who were either undelivered or within 24 hours of birth with pre-eclampsia and uncertainty about whether to use magnesium sulphate to prevent eclampsia were enrolled from July 1998 to November 2001 in a randomised controlled trial of either magnesium sulphate or saline placebo. Women were excluded if they had hypersensitivity to magnesium, hepatic coma, or myasthenia gravis. The magnesium sulphate dose was 4 g intravenously over 10 to 15 minutes, followed by either 1 g/hour intravenously for 24 hours, or by 5 g every 4 hours intramuscularly for 24 hours. The major endpoint of the study was neuroprotection of the mother (avoidance of eclampsia). Secondary endpoints included long-term outcome for the children. Unpublished outcome data were provided from the trial investigators on the women who were undelivered when treated with magnesium sulphate and who were less than 37 weeks' gestational age at randomisation, as well as for the subgroups less than 34 and less than 30 weeks' gestational age at randomisation, and for the subgroups of singleton pregnancies versus multiple pregnancies. Outcome data for women from the Magpie study were included if the child was selected for follow up and outcomes for the child were known, even if the only outcome available was death.

Marret 2006 (neuroprotection) - A total of 573 women whose birth was planned or expected within 24 hours with singleton, twin or triplet less than 33 weeks' gestation were enrolled at 18 collaborating centres in France, but data from only 13 centres (564 women) were included in the final report; two of the 18 centres recruited no women and three centres enrolled fewer than five women and were excluded on the basis of a prespecified criterion for exclusion of centres. Women were not eligible when the fetus had severe malformations, chromosomal abnormalities or growth restriction, and with various maternal complications, such as preeclampsia, hypotension, cardiac arrhythmias, electrolyte anomalies, renal insufficiency. Women were randomly allocated to either

intravenous magnesium sulphate 4 g or an equal volume of isotonic saline placebo over 30 minutes. The major endpoint of the study was white matter injury to the infant diagnosed by cranial ultrasound.

Risk of bias in included studies

Mittendorf 2002 - The 'tocolytic' arm was unblinded, whereas the 'neuroprotective' arm was blinded. The method of randomisation was not described. Outcomes were given for all mothers and babies enrolled.

Follow-up component: surviving children were assessed at 4, 8, 12 and 18 months of age, corrected for prematurity, in a special follow-up clinic. There was no statement on blinding of the assessors to the treatment allocation. Neurologic outcomes included cerebral palsy at 18 months, with diagnosis made or confirmed by a developmental paediatrician (criteria not described). Other outcomes were not described. The follow-up rate of survivors was not described.

Crowther 1998 - This was a double-blind trial with randomisation performed centrally by non-clinical staff independent of the chief investigators, with random variation in block sizes of four, six or eight, and separately for singleton, twin, or higher order multiple births. Each study number was placed on a masked treatment pack. Packs were sent to individual hospitals ready for use. No-one at individual study sites had access to the treatment code. Outcomes were given for all mothers and fetuses enrolled.

Follow-up component: surviving children were assessed at 24 months of age, corrected for prematurity, by paediatricians and psychologists at individual study sites who were blinded to treatment group allocation. Neurologic outcomes included cerebral palsy (criteria included abnormalities of tone and motor dysfunction) and gross motor function assessed by the criteria of Palisano 1997. Substantial gross motor dysfunction comprised children who were not walking independently at two years of corrected age. Other outcomes included blindness (bilateral vision worse than 6/60), deafness requiring hearing aids, and developmental delay (defined as an Mental Developmental Index (MDI) on the Bayley Scales of Infant Development less than 85 [less than -1 SD] Bayley 1993). Major neurologic disability was defined as any of moderate or severe cerebral palsy, blindness, deafness or an MDI less than 70. The follow-up rate of survivors at two years was 99% (1047/1061).

Magpie 2006 - This was a double-blind trial with randomisation performed centrally, independent of the clinical investigators, with balance for severity of pre-eclampsia, gestational age, undelivered or delivered, anticonvulsants prior to entry, multiple pregnancy, and country. Masked treatment packs were provided to individual hospitals ready for use. No-one at individual study sites had access to the treatment code. Outcomes were given for 99.7% of mothers and 98.7% of fetuses enrolled.

Follow-up component: not all surviving children could be followed in this multinational trial for various logistic reasons. In

the study overall, approximately 2/3 of surviving children were selected for follow up, and of these children outcomes were determined for 73% (n = 3283), including those who died. Children were assessed by a developmental screening questionnaire at 18 or more months of age, corrected for prematurity where appropriate, and those who failed were invited for a more formal developmental test - usually the Bayley Scales of Infant Development, either the first (Bayley 1969) or the second edition (Bayley 1993), or alternative tests such as the Griffiths scales. In addition, 20% of screen negative children were also assessed formally. It was intended that children would be at least 18 months old, corrected for prematurity where appropriate, but in some instances children had data only at younger ages. Major neurologic disability was defined as any of moderate or severe cerebral palsy, blindness, deafness or a MDI on the Bayley Scales less than 70. Children were not routinely examined by a paediatrician or neurologist for diagnoses such as cerebral palsy. Given the lack of formal assessment of all children it is probable that diagnoses such as developmental delay (defined as a MDI on the Bayley Scales less than 85 [less than -1 SD]), or cerebral palsy were underestimated. For this review, the Magpie investigators provided data for 1593 infants whose mothers were treated at less than 37 weeks' gestational age out of the total of 3283 children with follow-up data.

Marret 2006 - This was a single-blind trial with randomisation performed centrally, with randomisation numbers generated by computer using variable block size from two to 16 depending on expected recruitment. Randomisation was independent of the clinical investigators, with balance for study centre, multiple pregnancy, and gestational age (less than 27, 27 to 29, 30 to 32 weeks). The major endpoint of the study was infant death or white matter injury detected by cranial ultrasound and defined as the presence of periventricular cavitation, intraparenchymal haemorrhage, persisting hyperechogenicity or ventricular dilatation.

Follow-up component: at two years of age physicians caring for the children or the study investigators, who were blinded to treatment allocation, obtained data either by clinical examination or telephone with a standardised questionnaire derived from Amiel-Tison's (Amiel-Tison 2004) and the Denver Developmental Scale. Motor and cognitive developmental scales were scored, ranging from one (normal) to four (severely impaired). The follow-up rate of survivors was 96%.

Effects of interventions

We included four trials with a total of 3701 babies (Crowther 1998; Magpie 2006; Marret 2006; Mittendorf 2002). The Mittendorf trial (Mittendorf 2002) has both tocolytic and neuroprotective arms and hence appears twice. Results are presented on a 'as randomised' basis. (Results were also analysed on the basis of liveborn infants only, and these were very similar to the 'as randomised' analyses.)

Infant mortality - fetal, neonatal and later (Graphs

1.01 to 1.03)

Antenatal magnesium sulphate treatment had no overall significant effect on total (fetal, neonatal and later) mortality (relative risk (RR) 0.97; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.74 to 1.28; four trials; 3701 infants). While Crowther 1998, Magpie 2006 and Marret 2006 showed no significant mortality differences between magnesium and no magnesium groups, Mittendorf 2002 showed significantly more deaths in the magnesium group (10/85 versus 1/80). Eight of the 10 deaths in the magnesium group (and no deaths in the no magnesium group) occurred in the 'tocolytic' arms of Mittendorf 2002 compared with two deaths and one death respectively in the 'neuroprotective' arms of the trial.

There were sufficient data to permit subgroup analysis based on the primary intent for giving magnesium sulphate in the study, either specifically for neuroprotection of the infant (the neuroprotective intent subgroup) or for other reasons, such as tocolysis or prevention of eclampsia (other intent subgroup). The RR for the neuroprotective intent subgroup was 0.83; 95% CI 0.66 to 1.03; three trials; 2002 infants; and RR for the other intent subgroup was 2.86; 95% CI 0.23 to 35.82; two trials; 1699 infants). There was significant heterogeneity overall (I² = 52.3%) and in the other intent subgroup (I² = 71.2%) between studies, largely due to the different results from the other intent (tocolytic) arm of Mittendorf 2002. The difference between the "neuroprotective" and the "other intent" subgroups was statistically significant (chi squared = 4.37, P = 0.037).

For fetal deaths only, little difference was seen between the magnesium and no magnesium groups (RR 0.98; 95% CI 0.78 to 1.24; four trials; 3701 infants), or in the subgroups by intent. Most discrepancy between studies was seen for deaths of liveborn infants to latest age of follow up (neuroprotective intent subgroup: RR 0.83; 95% CI 0.65 to 1.05; three trials; 2002 infants and other intent subgroup: RR 3.02; 95% CI 0.28 to 33.04; two trials; 1699 infants).

Paediatric neurologic outcomes (Graphs 1.04 to 1.10)

There were no significant effects of antenatal magnesium sulphate treatment on cerebral palsy (overall RR 0.77; 95% CI 0.56 to 1.06; four trials; 3701 infants; RR for neuroprotective intent subgroup 0.83; 95% CI 0.60 to 1.15; three trials; 2002 infants; and RR for other intent subgroup 0.29; 95% CI 0.07 to 1.16; two trials; 1699 infants). The difference between the "neuroprotective" and the "other intent" subgroups was not statistically significant (chi squared = 1.65, P = 0.20).

Crowther 1998 (neuroprotective intent: 1255 infants) and Magpie 2006 (other intent: 1593 infants) were the only studies to report on a number of other neurologic outcomes (two trials; 2848 infants). Substantial gross motor dysfunction was the only outcome to show a significant difference between magnesium and placebo overall (RR 0.56; 95% CI 0.33 to 0.97 in favour of magnesium), but the result was largely attributable to the Crowther 1998 study (RR 0.53; 95% CI 0.30 to 0.92 in favour of magnesium).

Combined results for the other neurologic outcomes were:

- any neurologic impairment: RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.86 to 1.19; two trials; 2848 infants;
- blindness: RR 0.66; 95% CI 0.11 to 3.97; two trials; 2848 infants;
- deafness: RR 1.12; 95% CI 0.43 to 2.89; two trials; 2848 infants;
- developmental delay or intellectual impairment: RR 1.02; 95% CI 0.85 to 1.21; two trials; 2848 infants;
- major neurologic disability: RR 1.07; 95% CI 0.82 to 1.40; two trials; 2848 infants.

Combined paediatric mortality and neurologic outcomes (Graphs 1.11 to 1.14)

There was no significant effect of antenatal magnesium sulphate treatment on the combined rate of death or cerebral palsy (RR 0.96; 95% CI 0.75 to 1.24; four trials; 3701 infants). The results for the neuroprotective and other intent groups were RR 0.83; 95% CI 0.64 to 1.07; three trials; 2002 infants, and RR 1.28; 95% CI 0.68 to 2.41; two trials; 1699 infants, respectively. There was a high level of heterogeneity, but only for the trials overall (I²= 60.6%). The difference between the "neuroprotective" and the "other intent" subgroups was statistically significant (chi squared = 5.72, P = 0.017).

Crowther 1998 and Magpie 2006 were the only studies to report other neurologic outcomes, results for combined death/neurologic outcomes are only available from these two trials for a total of 2848 infants.

Neither death nor any neurological impairment (RR 1.00; 95% CI 0.91 to 1.11), or death or major neurological disability (RR 1.02; 95% CI 0.90 to 1.15) showed statistically significant differences between the magnesium and placebo groups overall. The combined outcome of death or substantial gross motor dysfunction was also not significantly in favour of magnesium (RR 0.91; 95% CI 0.61 to 1.36) overall, but there was substantial heterogeneity in this outcome between the two studies (I^2 = 87.3%).

Major maternal outcomes (Graphs 1.15 to 1.17)

There were no substantial differences between treatment groups in maternal deaths (RR 1.25; 95% CI 0.51 to 3.07; three trials; 3170 women), cardiac arrest (RR 0.34; 95% CI 0.04 to 3.26; three trials; 3170 women), or respiratory arrest (RR 1.02; 95% CI 0.06 to 16.25; three trials; 3170 women) in either group in this trial, but few women had these outcomes.

Cessation of maternal therapy (Graph 1.18)

Both Crowther 1998 and Magpie 2006 (total 2606 women) reported on this outcome. In both groups individually, significantly more women in the magnesium group ceased therapy because of side-effects, as well as in both groups overall (RR 3.03; 95% CI 2.02 to 4.54).

Secondary paediatric outcomes (Graphs 1.19 to 1.24)

There were no significant differences seen in any of the secondary paediatric outcomes in all studies combined:

- intraventricular haemorrhage: RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.87 to 1.18; three trials; 2108 infants;
- cystic periventricular leucomalacia: RR 0.99; 95% CI 0.68 to 1.45; three trials; 2108 infants;
- Apgar score less than seven at five minutes: RR 1.12; 95% CI 0.89 to 1.40; two trials; 1943 infants;
- neonatal convulsions: RR 0.77; 95% CI 0.49 to 1.21; two trials; 1943 infants;
- ongoing respiratory support: RR 0.99; 95% CI 0.89 to 1.11; two trials; 1943 infants;
- chronic lung disease (oxygen at 28 days): RR 1.07; 95% CI 0.94 to 1.22; one trial; 1255 infants;
- chronic lung disease (oxygen at 36 weeks): RR 1.12; 95% CI 0.95 to 1.32; two trials; 1943 infants.

None of the trials reported on need for active resuscitation at birth, hypotonia, how many babies were treated with postnatal steroids, measures of growth such as weight, height or head circumference or failing a grade at school.

Secondary maternal outcomes (Graphs 1.25 to 1.30)

There was significantly more maternal hypotension (RR 1.51; 95% CI 1.09 to 2.09; two trials; 1626 women) and tachycardia (RR 1.53; 95% CI 1.03 to 2.29; one trial; 1062 women) in the magnesium group than in the placebo group.

No significant differences between magnesium and placebo were seen for:

- maternal respiratory depression: RR 1.20; 95% CI 0.74 to 1.94; one trial; 1062 women;
- postpartum haemorrhage: RR 0.87; 95% CI 0.67 to 1.13; two trials; 1626 women;
- caesarean birth: RR 1.06; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.13; three trials; 3170 women.

Crowther 1998 reported that none of the women in the trial were admitted to the intensive care unit. There were no significant differences in the rates of admission to intensive care for the mother in the Magpie trial (Magpie 2006; RR 0.89; 95% CI 0.54 to 1.47; one trial; 1544 women).

None of the trials reported on length of labour, augmentation of labour, use of intrapartum antibiotics, breastfeeding, or maternal satisfaction.

Consumption of health resources (Graphs 1.31, 1.32)

No substantial differences were seen between the magnesium and placebo groups for length of mother's hospital stay (weighted mean difference (WMD) 0.17 days; 95% CI -0.18 to 0.53; two trials; 2606 mothers) or infant's primary stay (WMD -0.52 days; 95% CI -4.15 to 3.11; two trials; 2828 infants), but there was significant heterogeneity in the last comparison ($I^2 = 51.5\%$).

No study reported the number of babies admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), duration of any NICU stay or costs of care either for the mother or baby.

Subgroup analyses

Neuroprotective intent only

This subgroup analysis is discussed in the primary analysis above.

Gestational age at randomisation (Graphs 2/01 to 2/07)

Although Mittendorf 2002 reported stratifying by gestational age, their results were not presented by gestational age. In Crowther 1998, all women at entry had fetuses younger than 30 weeks' gestation. In the study of Marret 2006 all fetuses were less than 33 weeks at randomisation. The Magpie investigators (Magpie 2006) provided data for not only all infants less than 37 weeks at randomisation, but also less than 34 weeks and less than 30 weeks separately. No clear differences were seen between treatment groups within the gestational age subgroups although there was substantial heterogeneity in most outcomes where mortality was considered, either alone or combined with neurological outcomes.

Single or multiple pregnancy (Graphs 3/01 to 3/07)

Data were available only from Crowther 1998 and Magpie 2006 for single and multiple pregnancies separately, with no clear differences seen between any of the primary outcomes, although there was substantial heterogeneity where mortality was considered, either alone or combined with neurological outcomes.

Dose (Graphs 4/01 to 4/07)

Loading doses were all 4 g, while most discrepancy was in the maintenance dose, ranging from nil (Marret 2006 and Mittendorf 2002 neuroprotective), to 1 g per hour (Crowther 1998 and Magpie 2006), to 2 to 3 g per hour (Mittendorf 2002 tocolytic). There were no substantial differences between treatment groups within these various dosing regimens.

Corticosteroids (Graphs 5/01 to 5/07)

Corticosteroids were given to the more than 50% of women in the trials of Crowther 1998 and Marret 2006, and to the tocolytic arm of the Mittendorf study (Mittendorf 2002), but the results were not reported separately for the subgroups. Analyses confined to these three studies revealed no different conclusions.

Type of magnesium

All four trials used magnesium sulphate.

Preterm labour

In Crowther 1998, 63% of women in each group were in preterm labour at randomisation and 84% in the magnesium group and 88% in the placebo group in the study of Marret 2006 but results were not reported separately.

Preterm prelabour rupture of the membranes (PPROM) at randomisation

In Crowther 1998, 8% of women in the magnesium group and 10% in the placebo group had PPROM at randomisation, but results were not reported separately. In the study of Marret 2006, 54% of the magnesium group and 47% of the placebo group had PPROM at randomisation but the results were not reported separately for the subgroups.

Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia

In Crowther 1998, 16% of women in the magnesium group and 14% in the placebo group had pre-eclampsia or eclampsia at randomisation but results were not presented separately. Mittendorf 2002 and Marret 2006 excluded pre-eclamptic women. In the Magpie trial (Magpie 2006), all women had pre-eclampsia.

Mode of administration of magnesium sulphate

All four trials involved the use of intravenous magnesium, at least for the loading dose. Results for the subgroup of women who received intramuscular magnesium sulphate as maintenance were not reported from the Magpie study (Magpie 2006).

DISCUSSION

In women who are threatening to give birth early, the evidence available to date shows that magnesium sulphate therapy has no substantial effects on the unborn baby's chances of survival, or of surviving free of neurologic problems such as cerebral palsy, neurologic impairment or major neurologic disability. However, the conclusions need to be interpreted cautiously given the substantial heterogeneity between the studies reviewed in outcomes such as paediatric mortality, and the combined outcome of paediatric death or cerebral palsy. There is, however, some evidence of a neuroprotective benefit of antenatal magnesium sulphate therapy on the outcome of substantial gross motor dysfunction, but this is restricted to the results from two studies (Crowther 1998; Magpie 2006) where this was a secondary outcome only in the original trials. Importantly, the initial concern about a higher paediatric mortality that led to the early termination of the Mittendorf study (Mittendorf 2002) was not substantiated in the meta-analysis.

Secondary outcomes were not significantly different between treatment groups, but these were not always reported and there were thus less data to examine for effects of magnesium sulphate on these alternative outcomes. As further data accumulate it is hoped that the effects, if any, of magnesium sulphate therapy on secondary outcomes will become clearer.

The expected higher rate of maternal side-effects with magnesium sulphate was observed, but major maternal complications were rare and not significantly different between treatment groups.

The prespecified subgroup analyses did not identify groups who might benefit more from neuroprotective magnesium sulphate therapy.

There are limitations in this meta-analysis related to long-term neurological outcomes, in part because of methodological limitations of the included studies. Only one study (Crowther 1998) was designed to assess long-term effects of magnesium sulphate as the primary outcome. Details of the diagnosis of cerebral palsy were unclear in the study of Mittendorf 2002. In the studies with the outcome of cerebral palsy, children have been assessed early in childhood, usually at two years of age or earlier, when the diagnosis is not always certain (Stanley 1982). Reassessment of neurological outcomes later in childhood, at least into school-age, in all studies is desirable.

One ongoing randomised controlled trial should report more data within the next few year: the BEAM trial from the USA is expected to report results in 2007. In addition, the children in the Crowther 1998 study are being reassessed at eight to nine years of age; results should be available in 2008.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

The role for antenatal magnesium sulphate therapy as a neuroprotective agent for the preterm fetus is not yet established.

Implications for research

Given the possible beneficial effects of magnesium sulphate on substantial gross motor dysfunction in early childhood, the children in any randomised controlled trial (RCT) should be reassessed later in childhood to determine the presence or absence of other potentially important neurologic effects, particularly on motor or cognitive function. Current RCTs in progress should be facilitated to enable the possible benefits or harms of magnesium sulphate to be evaluated more thoroughly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Magpie Trial Investigators kindly provided subgroup data from their large randomised controlled trial for the purposes of this meta-analysis.

As part of the pre-publication editorial process, this review has been commented on by two peers (an editor and referee who is external

to the editorial team), one or more members of the Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's international panel of consumers and the Group's Statistical Adviser.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Crowther 2003 {published and unpublished data}

* Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Doyle LW, Haslam RR for the Australasian Collaborative Trial of Magnesium Sulphate (ACTOMgS)4) Collaborative Group. Effect of magnesium sufate given for neuroprotection before preterm birth. *JAMA* 2003;**290**(20):2669–76.

Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Doyle LW, Haslam RR for the Australasian Collaborative Trial of Magnesium Sulphate (ACTOMg SO4) Collaborative Group. Effect of magnesium sulfate given for neuroprotection before preterm birth: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2003;**290**(20):2669–76.

Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Doyle LW for the ACTOMgSO4 Collaborators Group. Does prenatal magnesium sulphate reduce the risk of mortality and cerebral palsy in infants born at less than 30 weeks' gestation? - The ACTOMgS04 trial. Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 7th Annual Congress; 2003 March 9-12; Tasmania, Australia. 2003:A4.

Paradisis M, Evans N, Osborn D, Kluckow M, ACTOMgSO4 Collaborators Group. The effect of antenatal magnesium sulphate on early systemic blood flow in very preterm infants. *Pediatric Research* 2004;**55 Suppl**:114.

Smith CA, Crowther CA, Willson K, Hiller JE, Doyle LW. Placental transfer of magnesium sulphate: a randomised placebo controlled trial. Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 7th Annual Congress; 2003 March 9-12; Tasmania, Australia. 2003:P48.

Magpie 2006 {unpublished data only}

Magpie Trial Follow Up Study Collaborative Group. The Magpie Trial: a randomised trial comparing magnesium sulphate with placebo for pre-eclampsia. Outcome for children at 18 months. *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 2007;**114** (3):289–99.

Marret 2006 {published and unpublished data}

* Marret S, Marpeau L, Zupan-Simunek V, Eurin D, Lévêque C, Hellot MF, et al.Magnesium sulfate given before very-preterm birth to protect infant brain: the randomized, controlled PREMAG trial. BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology 2007; Vol. 114, issue 3:310–8.

Marret S, Zupan V, Marpeau L, Adde-Michel C, Benichou J, the Premag Trial Group. Prenatal magnesium sulphate (MgSO4) and neuroprotection in preterm infants: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting; 2005 May 14-17; Washington DC, USA. 2005.

Mittendorf 2002 {published data only}

Mittendorf R, Bentz L, Borg M, Roizen N. Does exposure to antenatal magnesium sulfate prevent cerebral palsy?. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gymecology* 2000;**182**(1 Pt 2):S20.

Mittendorf R, Bentz L, Kohn J, Covert R. Use of antenatal magnesium sulfate does not seem to prevent intraventricular hemorrhage. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2000;**182**(1 Pt 2):S34.

Mittendorf R, Besinger R, Santillan M, Gianopoulos J. When used in circumstance of preterm labor, is there a paradoxical effect of varying exposures to magnesium sulfate (MGSO4) on the developing human brain?. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2005;**193**(6 Suppl):S65.

Mittendorf R, Covert R, Boman J, Khoshnood B, Lee KS, Siegler M. Is tocolytic magnesium sulphate associated with increased total paediatric mortality?. *Lancet* 1997;**350**(9090):1517–8.

Mittendorf R, Covert R, Elin R, Pryde P, Khoshnood B, Sun-Lee K. Umbilical cord serum ionized magnesium level and total pediatric mortality. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2001;**98**:75–8.

Mittendorf R, Dambrosia J, Dammann O, Pryde PG, Lee KS, Ben-Ami TE, et al.Association between maternal serum ionized magnesium levels at delivery and neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;**140**(5):540–6.

Mittendorf R, Dambrosia J, Khoshnood B, Lee KS, Pryde P, Yousefzadeh D. Association between magnesium and intraventricular haemorrhage. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2001; **184**(1):S188.

Mittendorf R, Dambrosia J, Khoshnood B, Lee K-S, Pryde P, Yousefzadeh D. Magnesium sulfate is no more efficacious than other tocolytic agents. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2001; **184**(1):S188.

* Mittendorf R, Dambrosia J, Pryde PG, Lee KS, Gianopoulos JG, Besinger RE, et al.Association between the use of antenatal magnesium sulfate in preterm labor and adverse health outcomes in infants. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2002;**186**(6):1111–8.

Mittendorf R, Janeczek S, Macmillan W, Gianopoulos J, Besinger R, Karlman R, et al. Mechanisms of mortality in the magnesium and neurologic endpoints trial (magnet trial): fetal inflammatory response syndrome (firs). *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2001; **185**(6 Suppl):S151.

Mittendorf R, Kuban K, Pryde PG, Gianopoulos JG, Yousefzadeh D. Antenatal risk factors associated with the development of lenticulostriate vasculopathy (lsv) in neonates. *Journal of Perinatology* 2005; **25**(2):101–7.

Mittendorf R, Pryde P, Khoshnood B, Lee KS. If tocolytic magnesium sulfate is associated with excess total pediatric mortality, what is its impact?. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1998;**92**(2):308–11.

Mittendorf R, Pryde P, Lee KS, Besinger R, Macmillan W, Karlman R, et al. Umbilical cord serum ionized magnesium levels at delivery are not correlated with neuroprotection in childhood. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2002;**187**(6 Pt 2):S74.

Mittendorf R, Pryde P, Lee K-S, Besinger R, MacMillan W, Karlman R, et al.Coagulase negative staphylococci cultured from the placental chorioamnion space at delivery are associated with lower bayley scores. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2002;**187**(6 Pt 2):S131.

Santillan M, Besinger RE, Gianopoulos JG, Mittendorf R. An inverse correlation between umbilical cord blood ionized magnesium (IMG) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels could not be confirmed in the human. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2005;**193**(6 Suppl): S183.

References to ongoing studies

BEAM {unpublished data only}

Anonymous. Beneficial effects of magnesium sulfate (BEAM trial). www.clinicaltrials.gov (accessed 11 January 2007).

Additional references

Amiel-Tison 2004

Amiel-Tison C, Gosselin J, eds. Démarche clinique en Neurologie du développement. Paris: Masson, 2004.

Bayley 1969

Bayley N. *Bayley Scales of Infant Development*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation, 1969.

Bayley 1993

Bayley N. *Bayley Scales of Infant Development*. Second Edition. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation, 1993.

Canterino 1999

Canterino JC, Verma UL, Visintainer PF, Figueroa R, Klein SA, Tejani NA. Maternal magnesium sulfate and the development of neonatal periventricular leucomalacia and intraventricular hemorrhage. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1999;**93**:396–402.

Crowther 1998

Crowther CA, Moore V. Magnesium maintenance therapy for preventing preterm birth after threatened preterm labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1998, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000940]

Crowther 2002

Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Doyle LW. Magnesium sulphate for preventing preterm birth in threatened preterm labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001060]

Doyle 2001

Doyle LW, for the Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Outcome at 5 years of age of children 23 to 27 weeks' gestation: refining the prognosis. *Pediatrics* 2001;**108**(1):134–41.

Drummond 2002

Drummond PM, Colver AF. Analysis by gestational age of cerebral palsy in singleton births in north-east England 1970-94. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 2002;**16**:172–80.

Duley 2000

Duley L, Gulmezoglu AM. Magnesium sulphate versus lytic cocktail for eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2000, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002960]

Duley 2003a

Duley L, Henderson-Smart D. Magnesium sulphate versus diazepam for eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000127]

Duley 2003b

Duley L, Henderson-Smart D. Magnesium sulphate versus phenytoin for eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000128]

Duley 2003c

Duley L, Gülmezoglu AM, Henderson-Smart DJ. Magnesium sulphate and other anticonvulsants for women with pre-eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000025]

Espinoza 1991

Espinoza MI, Parer JT. Mechanisms of asphyxial brain damage, and possible pharmacologic interventions, in the fetus. *American Journal* of Obstetrics and Gynecology 1991;**164**(6 Pt 1):1582–9.

Finesmith 1997

Finesmith RB, Roche K, Yellin PB, Walsh KK, Shen C, Zeglis M, et al. Effect of magnesium sulfate on the development of cystic periventricular leukomalacia in preterm infants. *American Journal of Perinatology* 1997;**14**(5):303–7.

Grether 1998

Grether JK, Hoogstrate J, Selvin S, Nelson KB. Magnesium sulfate tocolysis and risk of neonatal death. *American Journal of Obstetrics* and Gynecology 1998;**178**(1 Pt 1):1–6.

Grether 2000

Grether JK, Hoogstrate J, Walsh-Greene E, Nelson KB. Magnesium sulfate for tocolysis and risk of spastic cerebral palsy in premature children born to women without preeclampsia. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2000;**183**(3):717–25.

Hagberg 2001

Hagberg B, Hagberg G, Beckung E, Uvebrant P. Changing panorama of cerebral palsy in Sweden. VIII. Prevalence and origin in the birthyear period 1991-94. *Acta Paediatrica* 2001;**90**:271–7.

Hamilton 2006

Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Ventura SJ. Births: preliminary data for 2005. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 2006;**55**(11):1–18.

Hauth 1995

Hauth JC, Goldenberg RL, Nelson KG, DuBard MB, Peralta MA, Gaudier FL. Reduction of cerebral palsy with maternal MgSO4 treatment in newborns weighing 500-1000g [abstract]. *American Journal* of Obstetrics and Gynecology 1995;**172**(1 Pt 2):419.

Higgins 2005a

Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 4.2.5 [updated May 2005]. In: The

Cochrane Library, Issue 3, 2005. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Higgins 2005b

Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Assessment of study quality. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 4.2.5 [updated May 2005]; Section 6. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 3, 2005. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Himmelmann 2005

Himmelmann K, Hagberg G, Beckung E, Hagberg B, Uvebrant P. The changing panorama of cerebral palsy in Sweden. IX. Prevalence and origin in the birth-year period 1995-1998. *Acta Paediatrica* 2005; **94**:287–94.

Hutton 1994

Hutton JL, Cooke T, Pharoah TOD. Life expectancy in children with cerebral palsy. *BMJ* 1994;**309**:431–5.

Kimberlin 1998

Kimberlin DF, Hauth JC, Goldenberg RL, Bottoms SF, Iams JD, Mercer B, et al. The effect of maternal magnesium sulfate treatment on neonatal morbidity in < or = 1000-gram infants. *American Journal* of *Perinatology* 1998;**15**:635–41.

Kuban 1992

Kuban KCK, Leviton A, Pagano M, Fenton T, Strasfeld R, Wolff M. Maternal toxemia is associated with reduced incidence of germinal matrix hemorrhage in premature babies. *Journal of Child Neurology* 1992;7:70–6.

Kuban 1994

Kuban K, Leviton A, Pagano M, Fenton T, Strasfeld R, Wolff M. Maternal toxemia is associated with reduced incidence of germinal matrix hemorrhage in premature babies. *Journal of Child Neurology* 1992;7:70–6.

Levene 1995

Levene M, Blennow M, Whitelaw A, Hanko E, Fellman V, Hartley R. Acute effects of two different doses of magnesium sulphate in infants with birth asphyxia. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal Neonatal Edition* 1995;**73**:F174–F177.

Lipsitz 1971

Lipsitz P. The clinical and biochemical effects of excess magnesium in the newborn. *Pediatrics* 1971;**47**:501–9.

Lorenz 1998

Lorenz JM, Wooliever DE, Jetton JR, Paneth N. A quantitative review of mortality and developmental disability in extremely premature newborns. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* 1998;**152**: 425–35.

Makrides 2001

Makrides M, Crowther CA. Magnesium supplementation in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000937]

McDonald 1990

McDonald JW, Silverstein FS, Johnston MV. Magnesium reduces N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-mediated brain injury in perinatal rats. *Neuroscience Letters* 1990;**109**:234–9.

Nelson 1995

Nelson KB, Grether JK. Can magnesium sulfate reduce the risk of cerebral palsy in very low birthweight infants?. *Pediatrics* 1995;**95**: 1–10.

O'Shea 1998

O'Shea TM, Klinepeter KL, Meis PJ, Dillard RG. Intrauterine infection and the risk of cerebral palsy in very low-birthweight infants. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 1998;**12**(1):72–83.

Oxford Register 2001

Oxford Register of Early Childhood Impairments. *National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit 2001 Annual Report*. Oxford: Institute of Health Sciences, 2001.

Palisano 1997

Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Walter S, Russell D, Wood E, Galuppi B. Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 1997;**39**(4):214–23.

Paneth 1997

Paneth N, Jetton J, Pinto-Martin J, Susser M. Magnesium sulfate in labor and risk of neonatal brain lesions and cerebral palsy in low birth weight infants. The Neonatal Brain Hemorrhage Study Analysis Group. *Pediatrics* 1997;**99**(5):E1.

Perlman 1994

Perlman J, Fermandez C, Gee J, LeVeno K, Risser R. Magnesium sulphate administered to mothers with pregnancy-induced hypertension is associated with a reduction in periventricular-intraventricular hemorrhage [abstract]. *Pediatric Research* 1994;**37**:231A.

Petterson 1993

Petterson B, Nelson KB, Watson L, Stanley F. Twins, triplets and cerebral palsy in births in Western Australian in the 1980s. *BMJ* 1993;**307**:1239–43.

Pharoah 1998

Pharoah PO, Cooke T, Cooke RW, Rosenbloom L. Epidemiology of cerebral palsy in England and Scotland 1984-1989. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 1998;**79**:F21–F25.

RevMan 2003

The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). 4.2 for Windows. Oxford, England: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2003.

Schendel 1996

Schendel DE, Berg CJ, Yeargin-Allsopp M, Boyle CA, Decoufle P. Prenatal magnesium sulfate exposure and the risk for cerebral palsy or mental retardation among very low-birth-weight children aged 3 to 5 years. *JAMA* 1996;**276**(22):1805–10.

SCPE 2000

Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe. Surveillance of cerebral palsy in Europe: a collaboration of cerebral palsy surveys and registers. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 2000;**42**(12):816–24.

Sibai 2003

Sibai BM. Diagnosis and management of gestational hypertension and preeclampsia. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;**102**:181–92.

Stanley 1982

Stanley FJ. Using cerebral palsy data in the evaluation of neonatal intensive care: a warning. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 1982;**24**(1):93–4.

Stanley 1992

Stanley FJ, Watson L. Trends in perinatal mortality and cerebral palsy in Western Australia, 1967 to 1985. *BMJ* 1992;**304**(6843):1658–63.

Stanley 1994

Stanley FJ. The aetiology of cerebral palsy. *Early Human Development* 1994;**36**:81–8.

Surman 2003

Surman G, Newdick H, Johnson A. Cerebral palsy rates among lowbirthweight infants fell in the 1990s. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 2003;**45**:456–62.

Vermeulen 2001

Vermeulen GM, Bruinse HW, de Vries LS. Perinatal risk factors for adverse neurodevelopmental outcome after spontaneous preterm birth. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 2001;**99**:207–12.

VICS 1997

The Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Outcome at 2 years of children 23-27 weeks' gestation born in Victoria in 1991-92. *Journal of Paediatric Child Health* 1997;**33**(2):161–5.

Weintraub 2001

Weintraub Z, Solovechick M, Reichman B, Rotschild A, Waisman D, Davkin O, et al. Effect of maternal tocolysis on the incidence of severe periventricular/intraventricular haemorrhage in very low birthweight infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2001;**85**:F13–7.

Wiswell 1996

Wiswell TE, Graziani LJ, Caddell JL, Vecchione N, Stanley C, Spitzer AR. Maternally administered magnesium sulphate protects against early brain injury and long-term adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in preterm infants. A prospective study. *Pediatric Research* 1996;**39**:253A.

References to other published versions of this review

Keirse 1995a

Keirse M. Magnesium sulphate in preterm labour. [revised 07 April 1994]. Enkin MW, Keirse MJNC, Renfrew MJ, Neilson JP, Crowther C (eds.) Pregnancy and Childbirth Module. In: The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Database [database on disk and CDROM]. The Cochrane Collaboration. Issue 2. Oxford: Update Software, 1995.

Keirse 1995b

Keirse M. Magnesium sulphate and betamimetics for tocolysis in preterm labour [revised 07 April 1994]. Enkin MW, Keirse MJNC, Renfrew MJ, Neilson JP, Crowther C (eds.) Pregnancy and Childbirth Module. In: The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Database [database on disk and CDROM]. The Cochrane Collaboration; Issue 2. Oxford: Update Software, 1995.

Keirse 1995c

Keirse M. Magnesium sulphate vs betamimetics for tocolysis in preterm labour. [revised 07 April 1994]. Enkin MW, Keirse MJNC, Renfrew MJ, Neilson JP, Crowther C (eds.) Pregnancy and Childbirth Module. In: The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Database [database on disk and CDROM]. The Cochrane Collaboration; Issue 2. Oxford: Update Software, 1995.

Keirse 1995d

Keirse M. Magnesium sulphate vs ethanol for tocolysis in preterm labour. [revised 07 April 1994]. Enkin MW, Keirse MJNC, Renfrew MJ, Neilson JP, Crowther C (eds.) Pregnancy and Childbirth Module. In: The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Database [database on disk and CDROM]. The Cochrane Collaboration; Issue 2. Oxford: Update Software, 1995.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Crowther 2003

Methods	Computer-generated randomisation centrally. Blinding of randomisation: yes. Blinding of intervention: yes. Complete follow-up reporting: yes for outcomes during primary hospitalisation - 99% of surviving infants traced to 2 years of age. Outcome assessment blind: yes.					
Participants	1062 women (1255 fetuses) < 30 weeks' gestation likely to deliver within 24 hours. Exclusions: already received magnesium sulphate or magnesium sulphate contraindicated.					
Interventions	Active treatment - infusion of 4 g magnesium sulphate over 20 minutes, then 1 g/hour until delivery or for 24 hours, whichever came first. Placebo group - equal volume of 0.9% saline.					
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: total paediatric mortality (stillbirths, deaths during the primary hospitalisation and after discharge) up to 2 years of age, cerebral palsy, and combined outcome of death or cerebral palsy. Secondary infant outcomes: major IVH, (grade 3 or 4), cystic periventricular leucomalacia, neurosensory					

Crowther 2003	
(Continued)	
	disability (severe - any of severe cerebral palsy [not likely to walk], blindness, or severe developmental delay [MDI < -3 SD]; moderate - moderate cerebral palsy [not walking at 2 years, but likely to do so], deafness, moderate developmental delay [MDI -3 SD to < -2 SD]; mild - mild cerebral palsy [walking at 2 years] or mild developmental delay [MDI - 2 SD to < -1 SD], substantial gross motor dysfunction [not walking at 2 years of age]. Maternal outcomes: adverse cardiovascular and respiratory effects of infusion, postpartum haemorrhage.
Notes	
Risk of bias	
Item	Authors' judgement Description

Allocation concealment? Yes

Magpie 2006

Methods	Computer-generated randomisation centrally.			
Participants	1544 women (1593 fetuses) < 37 weeks' gestation with severe pre-eclampsia and randomised prior to delivery. Women were excluded if they had hypersensitivity to magnesium, hepatic coma, or myasthenia gravis. Data provided by the Magpie Investigators for a subset of the women who were < 37 weeks' gestational age and undelivered at the time of randomisation.			
Interventions	Active treatment - magnesium sulphate dose 4 g intravenously over 10-15 minutes, followed by either 1 g/hour intravenously for 24 hours, or by 5 g every 4 hours intramuscularly for 24 hours.			
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: neuroprotection of the mother (avoidance of eclampsia). Secondary endpoints included long-term outcomes for the children.			
Notes				
Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement Description			
Allocation concealment?	Yes A - Adequate			

Marret 2006

Methods	Computer-generated randomisation centrally.					
Participants	564 women (688 fetuses) in labour < 33 weeks' gestation. Exclusion criteria included fetal malformations, growth restriction, or chromosomal anomalies, and various maternal reasons.					
Interventions	4 g magnesium sulphate over 20 minutes.					
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: infant death or white matter injury on cranial ultrasound. Secondary outcomes included follow up of children at 2 years of age.					

Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus (Review) Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. A - Adequate

Risk of bias				
Item	Authors' judgement	Description		
Allocation concealment?	Yes	A - Adequate		

Mittendorf 2002

Notes

Methods	Methods of randomisation and allocation concealment not described.							
Participants	149 women (165 fetuses) in preterm labour, with or without premature rupture of the membranes. Exclusion criteria: mothers with triplet or higher order gestations.							
Interventions	"Tandem" randomisation: 1) eligible for aggressive tocolysis (cervix <= 4 cm dilation), magnesium sulphate tocolysis (n = 46), 'other' tocolysis (n = 46); 2) not eligible for tocolysis (cervix > 4 cm dilation) neuroprotective magnesium sulphate (n = 2 saline control (n = 28).							
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: not clearly stated.							
Notes								
Risk of bias								
Item	Authors' judgement	Description						
Allocation concealment?	Unclear	B - Unclear						
^{<i>a</i>} IVH: intraventricular h MDI: Mental Developme SD: standard deviation	aemorrhage ental Index							

17

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

BEAM

Trial name or title	Beneficial Effects of Magnesium Sulfate (NCT 00014989).					
Methods						
Participants	2000 women in labour with a premature fetus (24 to 31 weeks' gestation).					
Interventions	Magnesium sulphate (intravenously) versus placebo.					
Outcomes	Composite death or moderate to severe cerebral palsy, maternal infection, pulmonary oedema, placental abruption, neonatal stillbirth and death, intraventricular haemorrhage, periventricular leukomalacia, other neonatal morbidities.					
Starting date						
Contact information	Catherine Spong; spongc@mail.nih.gov					
Notes	Recruitment closed early 2006.					

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.74, 1.28]
1.1 Neuroprotective intent	3	2002	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.66, 1.03]
1.2 Other intent	2	1699	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
2 Fetal death	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.98 [0.78, 1.24]
2.1 Neuroprotective intent	3	2002	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.85 [0.40, 1.80]
2.2 Other intent	2	1699	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.78, 1.27]
3 Livebirth deaths			Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 To latest age of follow up - neuroprotective intent	3	2002	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.65, 1.05]
3.2 To latest age of follow up - other intent	2	1699	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	3.02 [0.28, 33.04]
3.3 Neonatal (< 28 days) - neuroprotective intent	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.81 [0.59, 1.11]
3.4 Neonatal (< 28 days) - other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.25 [0.90, 1.74]
3.5 During primary hospitalisation -	2	1943	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.85 [0.67, 1.08]
3.6 During primary hospitalisation - other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.27 [0.92, 1.73]
4 Cerebral palsy	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.56, 1.06]
4.1 Neuroprotective	3	2002	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.60, 1.15]
4.2 Other intent	2	1699	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
5 Any neurologic impairment	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.86, 1.19]
5.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
5.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
6 Substantial gross motor dysfunction	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.56 [0.33, 0.97]
6.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.53 [0.30, 0.92]
6.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.99 [0.12, 73.26]
7 Blindness	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.11, 3.97]
7.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.06, 15.88]
7.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.50 [0.05, 5.48]
8 Deafness	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.43, 2.89]
8.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.41, 3.12]
8.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.06, 15.90]
9 Developmental delay or intellectual impairment	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.85, 1.21]
9.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.86, 1.23]
9.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.80 [0.32, 2.01]
10 Major neurologic disability	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]
10.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]

10.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.69 [0.30, 1.60]
11 Death or cerebral palsy	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.75, 1.24]
11.1 Neuroprotective	3	2002	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.64, 1.07]
11.2 Other intent	2	1699	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
12 Death or any neurologic	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.91, 1.11]
impairment				
12.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
12.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
13 Death or substantial gross	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.91 [0.61, 1.36]
motor dysfunction		1055		
13.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	0.74 [0.59, 0.93]
13.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)	1.11 [0.94, 1.32]
14 Death or major neurologic disability	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.90, 1.15]
14.1 Neuroprotective	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
14.2 Other intent	1	1593	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
15 Maternal mortality	3	3170	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.25 [0.51, 3.07]
16 Maternal cardiac arrest	3	3170	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.34 [0.04, 3.26]
17 Maternal respiratory arrest	3	3170	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.06, 16.25]
18 Cessation of maternal therapy	2	2606	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.03 [2.02, 4.54]
19 Intraventricular haemorrhage	3	2108	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.87, 1.18]
20 Cystic periventricular	3	2108	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.68, 1.45]
leucomalacia				
21 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes	2	1943	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.89, 1.40]
22 Neonatal convulsions	2	1943	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.49, 1.21]
23 Ongoing respiratory support	2	1943	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.89, 1.11]
24 Chronic lung disease			Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
24.1 Oxygen at 28 days	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
24.2 Oxygen at 36 weeks	2	1943	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.95, 1.32]
25 Maternal hypotension	2	1626	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.51 [1.09, 2.09]
26 Maternal tachycardia	1	1062	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.53 [1.03, 2.29]
27 Maternal respiratory depression	1	1062	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.20 [0.74, 1.94]
28 Postpartum haemorrhage	2	1626	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.87 [0.67, 1.12]
29 Caesarean birth	3	3170	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.06 [1.00, 1.13]
30 Mother admitted to intensive care unit	2	2606	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.89 [0.54, 1.47]
31 Duration of mother's hospital stay (days)	2	2606	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.17 [-0.18, 0.53]
32 Duration of primary hospital stay for baby (days)	2	2828	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.52 [-4.15, 3.11]
stuj tor buby (dujo)				

Comparison 2. Gestational age subgroup

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)			Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation	4	2913	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.72, 1.27]

randomisation2 Cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)Subrotals only2.1 < 34 weeks at42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)0.79 [0.57, 1.09]randomisation2.2 < 30 weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)0.86 [0.56, 1.31]3 Neurologic impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)Subrotals only0.102 [0.86, 1.20]3.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)1.02 [0.86, 1.20]andomisation1111.03 [0.87, 1.21]3.2 < 30 weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)1.03 [0.87, 1.21]randomisation111.03 [0.87, 1.21]1.09 [0.83, 1.43]randomisation11.09 [0.83, 1.43]1.09 [0.83, 1.43]4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)1.09 [0.83, 1.43]randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)1.09 [0.83, 1.43]randomisation11.12 [0.85, 1.48]1.12 [0.85, 1.48]randomisation11.12 [0.85, 1.48]1.12 [0.85, 1.48]randomisation11.12 [0.85, 1.48]1.12 [0.85, 1.48]randomisation11.12 [0.85, 1.48]1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5.2 < 30 weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]randomisation11.12 [0.85, 1.48]1.12 [0.85, 1.24]1.12 [0.85, 1.24]f.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% Cl)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]<	1.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.67, 1.41]																																																																																																																																																																																				
2 Cerebral palsyKisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)Subtorals only2.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation					2.1 < 34 weeks at	2 Cerebral palsy	,		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	randomisation2.2 < 30 weeks at	2.1 < 34 weeks at	4	2913	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	0.79 [0.57, 1.09]	2.2 < 30 weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.86 [0.56, 1.31]randomisation3) Neurologic impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.02 [0.86, 1.20] $3.1 < 34$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.02 [0.86, 1.20]randomisation $3.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.02 [0.86, 1.20] $3.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.87, 1.21]randomisation $4.1 < 34$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.09 [0.83, 1.43] $4.1 < 34$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.09 [0.83, 1.43]randomisation $4.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.12 [0.85, 1.48]randomisation $4.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.94 [0.74, 1.21]randomisation $5.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.97 [0.69, 1.38]randomisation $5.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.98 [0.89, 1.08]randomisation $6.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.86, 1.24]randomisation $6.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.86, 1.24]randomisation $6.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.86, 1.24] <tr< td=""><td>randomisation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	randomisation					randomisationRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only3.1 < 34 weeks at	2.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.86 [0.56, 1.31]	3 Neurologic impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only3.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation					3.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.02 [0.86, 1.20]$ randomisation $3.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.03 [0.87, 1.21]$ randomisation 4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.03 [0.87, 1.21]$ 4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.09 [0.83, 1.43]$ $4.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.09 [0.83, 1.43]$ $4.2 < 30$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.12 [0.85, 1.48]$ 5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.94 [0.74, 1.21]$ $5.1 < 34$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.94 [0.74, 1.21]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.97 [0.69, 1.38]$ $6.2 < 30$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ $6.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ $6.1 < 34$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ 7.0 beach or meurologicalRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.99 [0.88, 1.11]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.99 [0.88, 1.11]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.99 [0.88, 1$	3 Neurologic impairment			Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	3.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.03 [0.87, 1.21]4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only4.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.09 [0.83, 1.43]4.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]7 Death or meurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	3.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.86, 1.20]	4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only4.1 < 34 weeks at	3.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]	4.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.09 [0.83, 1.43]4.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only5.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	4 Major neurologic disability			Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	randomisation4.2 < 30 weeks at	4.1 < 34 weeks at	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.83, 1.43]	4.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only5.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation					randomisationRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.1 < 34 weeks at	4.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.85, 1.48]	5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only $5.1 < 34$ weeks at42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.94 [0.74, 1.21]$ randomisation5.2 < 30 weeks at	randomisation					5.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	5 Death or cerebral palsy			Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only	5.1 < 34 weeks at	4	2913	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.74, 1.21]	5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]	randomisation					randomisation 6 Death or neurological impairment 6.1 < 34 weeks at c.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation 7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at 2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) CI) Subtotals only CI) CI) Subtotals only CI) CI) CI) CI) CI) CI) CI) CI)	5.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.69, 1.38]	6 Death or neurological impairment Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Subtotals only 6.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation					impairment6.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]randomisation6.2 < 30 weeks at	6 Death or neurological			Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	impairment				,	randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	6.1 < 34 weeks at	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]	6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	randomisation					randomisationRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	6.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]	7 Death or major neurological disability Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Subtotals only 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation 2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	randomisation		- , 0, 1			disability7.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]randomisation	7 Death or major neurological			Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	7.1 < 34 weeks at	disability					randomisation	7.1 < 34 weeks at	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.88, 1.11]		randomisation	-	2000		0199 [0100, 111]	7.2 < 30 weeks at 2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H Random 95% CI) 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]	7.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H Random 95% CI)	1 04 [0 86 1 24]	randomisation	randomisation	2	1)01		1.01 [0.00, 1.21]
randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																									
2.1 < 34 weeks at	2 Cerebral palsy	,		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only																																																																																																																																																																																				
randomisation2.2 < 30 weeks at	2.1 < 34 weeks at	4	2913	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	0.79 [0.57, 1.09]																																																																																																																																																																																				
2.2 < 30 weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.86 [0.56, 1.31]randomisation3) Neurologic impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.02 [0.86, 1.20] $3.1 < 34$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.02 [0.86, 1.20]randomisation $3.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.02 [0.86, 1.20] $3.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.87, 1.21]randomisation $4.1 < 34$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.09 [0.83, 1.43] $4.1 < 34$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.09 [0.83, 1.43]randomisation $4.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1.12 [0.85, 1.48]randomisation $4.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.94 [0.74, 1.21]randomisation $5.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.97 [0.69, 1.38]randomisation $5.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.98 [0.89, 1.08]randomisation $6.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.86, 1.24]randomisation $6.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.86, 1.24]randomisation $6.2 < 30$ weeks at2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 1.03 [0.86, 1.24] <tr< td=""><td>randomisation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
randomisationRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only3.1 < 34 weeks at	2.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.86 [0.56, 1.31]																																																																																																																																																																																				
3 Neurologic impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only3.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
3.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.02 [0.86, 1.20]$ randomisation $3.2 < 30$ weeks at2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.03 [0.87, 1.21]$ randomisation 4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.03 [0.87, 1.21]$ 4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.09 [0.83, 1.43]$ $4.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.09 [0.83, 1.43]$ $4.2 < 30$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) $1.12 [0.85, 1.48]$ 5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.94 [0.74, 1.21]$ $5.1 < 34$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.94 [0.74, 1.21]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.97 [0.69, 1.38]$ $6.2 < 30$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ $6.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ $6.1 < 34$ weeks at21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ 7.0 beach or meurologicalRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.98 [0.89, 1.08]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.99 [0.88, 1.11]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.99 [0.88, 1.11]$ $7.1 < 34$ weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.99 [0.88, 1$	3 Neurologic impairment			Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only																																																																																																																																																																																				
3.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.03 [0.87, 1.21]4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only4.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.09 [0.83, 1.43]4.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]7 Death or meurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	3.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.86, 1.20]																																																																																																																																																																																				
4 Major neurologic disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)Subtotals only4.1 < 34 weeks at	3.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]																																																																																																																																																																																				
4.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.09 [0.83, 1.43]4.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only5.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	4 Major neurologic disability			Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only																																																																																																																																																																																				
randomisation4.2 < 30 weeks at	4.1 < 34 weeks at	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.83, 1.43]																																																																																																																																																																																				
4.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)1.12 [0.85, 1.48]5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only5.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
randomisationRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.1 < 34 weeks at	4.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.85, 1.48]																																																																																																																																																																																				
5 Death or cerebral palsyRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only $5.1 < 34$ weeks at42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) $0.94 [0.74, 1.21]$ randomisation5.2 < 30 weeks at	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
5.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation42913Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.94 [0.74, 1.21]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	5 Death or cerebral palsy			Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only																																																																																																																																																																																				
randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only	5.1 < 34 weeks at	4	2913	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.74, 1.21]																																																																																																																																																																																				
5.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.97 [0.69, 1.38]6 Death or neurological impairmentRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
randomisation 6 Death or neurological impairment 6.1 < 34 weeks at c.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation 7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at 2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) Subtotals only CI) CI) Subtotals only CI) CI) Subtotals only CI) CI) CI) CI) CI) CI) CI) CI)	5.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.69, 1.38]																																																																																																																																																																																				
6 Death or neurological impairment Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Subtotals only 6.1 < 34 weeks at	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
impairment6.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]randomisation6.2 < 30 weeks at	6 Death or neurological			Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only																																																																																																																																																																																				
6.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.98 [0.89, 1.08]6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	impairment				,																																																																																																																																																																																				
randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	6.1 < 34 weeks at	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]																																																																																																																																																																																				
6.2 < 30 weeks at randomisation21537Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)1.03 [0.86, 1.24]7 Death or major neurological disabilityRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	randomisation																																																																																																																																																																																								
randomisationRisk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)Subtotals only7 Death or major neurological disability 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	6.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]																																																																																																																																																																																				
7 Death or major neurological disability Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) Subtotals only 7.1 < 34 weeks at randomisation 2 2060 Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI) 0.99 [0.88, 1.11]	randomisation		- , 0, 1																																																																																																																																																																																						
disability7.1 < 34 weeks at22060Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)0.99 [0.88, 1.11]randomisation	7 Death or major neurological			Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only																																																																																																																																																																																				
7.1 < 34 weeks at	disability																																																																																																																																																																																								
randomisation	7.1 < 34 weeks at	2	2060	Risk Ratio (M-H. Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.88, 1.11]																																																																																																																																																																																				
	randomisation	-	2000		0199 [0100, 111]																																																																																																																																																																																				
7.2 < 30 weeks at 2 1537 Risk Ratio (M-H Random 95% CI) 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]	7.2 < 30 weeks at	2	1537	Risk Ratio (M-H Random 95% CI)	1 04 [0 86 1 24]																																																																																																																																																																																				
randomisation	randomisation	2	1)01		1.01 [0.00, 1.21]																																																																																																																																																																																				

Comparison 3. Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.76, 1.38]
later)				
1.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
1.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.34 [0.48, 3.73]
2 Cerebral palsy	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.80 [0.53, 1.22]
2.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.57, 1.49]
2.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.52 [0.21, 1.25]
3 Neurologic impairment	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.85, 1.19]
3.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.06 [0.88, 1.28]

3.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.86 [0.61, 1.21]
4 Major neurologic disability	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]
4.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.87, 1.59]
4.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.44, 1.37]
5 Death or cerebral palsy	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.76, 1.24]
5.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.82, 1.14]
5.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.45, 2.92]
6 Death or neurological impairment	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.86, 1.16]
6.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.90, 1.12]
6.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.21 [0.56, 2.65]
7 Death or major neurologic disability	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.85, 1.22]
7.1 Single	2	2321	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.89, 1.16]
7.2 Multiple	2	527	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.20 [0.53, 2.71]

Comparison 4. Dose subgroup

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size	
1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.74, 1.28]	
1.1 Loading dose only (4 g)	2	747	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.88 [0.57, 1.35]	
1.2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose maintenance (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.71, 1.31]	
1.3 Loading (4 g) and higher-dose maintenance (2-3 g/hour): tocolytic intent	1	106	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	15.79 [0.93, 266.72]	
2 Cerebral palsy	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.77 [0.56, 1.06]	
2.1 Loading dose only (4 g)	2	747	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.80 [0.48, 1.33]	
2.2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose maintenance (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.80 [0.53, 1.22]	
2.3 Loading (4 g) and higher-dose maintenance (2-3 g/hour): tocolytic	1	106	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.13 [0.01, 2.51]	
3 Neurologic impairment	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.86, 1.19]	
3.1 Loading (4 g) and lower-maintenance dose (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.86, 1.19]	
4 Major neurologic disability	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]	
4.1 Loading (4 g) and lower-maintenance dose (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]	
5 Death or cerebral palsy	4	3701	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.75, 1.24]	
5.1 Loading dose (4 g) only	2	747	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.44 [0.27, 7.81]	

5.2 Loading (4 g) and lower-maintenance dose (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
5.3 Loading (4 g) and higher-maintenance dose (2-3 g/hour): tocolytic intent	1	106	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
6 Death or neurological impairment	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.91, 1.11]
6.1 Loading (4 g) and lower-maintenance dose (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.00 [0.91, 1.11]
7 Death or major neurological disability	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.90, 1.15]
7.1 Loading (4 g) and lower-maintenance dose (1 g/hour)	2	2848	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.90, 1.15]

Comparison 5. High antenatal corticosteroids

No. of No. of utcome or subgroup title studies participants Statistical meth		Statistical method	Effect size	
1 Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)	3	2049	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.89 [0.57, 1.40]
2 Cerebral palsy	3	2049	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.76 [0.54, 1.05]
3 Neurologic impairment	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
4 Major neurologic disability	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
5 Death or cerebral palsy	3	2049	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.70, 0.99]
6 Death or neurological impairment	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
7 Death or major neurological disability	1	1255	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]

Analysis I.I. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
Neuroprotective intent					
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	-	34.3 %	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	+	22.0 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Mittendorf 2002	2/30	1/29	-	1.3 %	1.93 [0.19, 20.18]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	57.6 %	0.83 [0.66, 1.03]
Total events: 123 (Magnesium)), 146 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Ch	i ² = 0.55, df = 2 (P	= 0.76); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.6$	7 (P = 0.095)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	209/798	188/795	•	41.5 %	. [0.93, .3]
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	0/5		0.9 %	15.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	853	846	-	42.4 %	2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Total events: 217 (Magnesium)), 188 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; C	$2hi^2 = 3.47, df = 1$ (F	$P = 0.06$); $ ^2 = 71\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	I (P = 0.42)				
Total (95% CI)	1864	1837	•	100.0 %	0.97 [0.74, 1.28]
Total events: 340 (Magnesium)), 334 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; C	$2hi^2 = 8.39, df = 4$ (F	$P = 0.08$); $ ^2 = 52\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	2 (P = 0.83)				

0.01 0.1 1 10 100

Favours magnesium

Favours no magnesium

Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus (Review) Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
Neuroprotective intent				
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	-	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	+	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Mittendorf 2002	2/30	1/29		1.93 [0.19, 20.18]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	0.83 [0.66, 1.03]
Total events: 123 (Magnesium),	146 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ²	= 0.55, df = 2 (P = 0.76);	$l^2 = 0.0\%$		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.67$	(P = 0.095)			
			I I	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate f	or women at risk of preter	rm birth for neuroprotection of	the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ver	rsus no magnesium			
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later)			
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magnie 2006	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798	No magnesium n/N 188/795	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.I I [0.93, I.31] I.5 79 [0.93, 266 72]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 944	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI)	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Hetercogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58: Chi	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47 df = 1 (P = 0.06)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI I.II [0,93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: Magnesium ver Outcome: Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0,93, I.31] I5.79 [0,93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); I ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.3I] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); I ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0,93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI I.II [0,93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0.93, I.3I] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl I.II [0,93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); I ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI 1.11 [0,93, 1.31] 15.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI I.II [0,93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]
Comparison: I Magnesium ver Outcome: I Paediatric mortal Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 217 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.58; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81	rsus no magnesium ity (fetal and later) Magnesium n/N 209/798 8/55 853 188 (No magnesium) ² = 3.47, df = 1 (P = 0.06) (P = 0.42)	No magnesium n/N 188/795 0/51 846); 1 ² =71%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI I.11 [0.93, I.31] I5.79 [0.93, 266.72] 2.86 [0.23, 35.82]

Analysis I.2. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 2 Fetal death.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 2 Fetal death

Magnesium Risk Ratio Study or subgroup No magnesium Risk Ratio Weight n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% CI M-H,Fixed,95% Cl I Neuroprotective intent Crowther 2003 9/629 11/626 8.8 % 0.81 [0.34, 1.95] Marret 2006 2/352 3/336 2.4 % 0.64 [0.11, 3.78] Mittendorf 2002 1/30 0/29 0.4 % 2.90 [0.12, 68.50] Subtotal (95% CI) 1011 991 11.6 % 0.85 [0.40, 1.80] Total events: 12 (Magnesium), 14 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.69$, df = 2 (P = 0.71); $I^2 = 0.0\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 0.43 (P = 0.67) 2 Other intent Mittendorf 2002 0/51 0.0 % Not estimable 0/55 111/798 Magpie 2006 111/795 88.4 % 1.00 [0.78, 1.27] Subtotal (95% CI) 853 846 88.4 % 1.00 [0.78, 1.27] Total events: III (Magnesium), III (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.03 (P = 0.98) Total (95% CI) 1864 1837 100.0 % 0.98 [0.78, 1.24] Total events: 123 (Magnesium), 125 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.87$, df = 3 (P = 0.83); I² = 0.0% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.18 (P = 0.86)

Favours magnesium

10

Favours no magnesium

0.1

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 2 Fetal death

Magnesium

Study or subgroup

n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% CI M-H,Fixed,95% Cl I Neuroprotective intent Crowther 2003 9/629 11/626 0.81 [0.34, 1.95] Marret 2006 2/352 3/336 0.64 [0.11, 3.78] Mittendorf 2002 1/30 0/29 2.90 [0.12, 68.50] Subtotal (95% CI) 1011 991 0.85 [0.40, 1.80] Total events: 12 (Magnesium), 14 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0.69, df = 2 (P = 0.71); l² =0.0% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.43 (P = 0.67) 0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 2 Fetal death Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% Cl M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 2 Other intent Mittendorf 2002 0/51 0/55 Not estimable Magpie 2006 |||/798 111/795 1.00 [0.78, 1.27] Subtotal (95% CI) 853 846 1.00 [0.78, 1.27] Total events: III (Magnesium), III (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.03 (P = 0.98) 0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

No magnesium

Risk Ratio

Risk Ratio

Analysis I.3. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 3 Livebirth deaths.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I To latest age of follow up -	neuroprotective inte	nt			
Crowther 2003	78/629	96/626	-	72.4 %	0.81 [0.61, 1.07]
Marret 2006	32/352	35/336	+	26.9 %	0.87 [0.55, 1.38]
Mittendorf 2002	1/30	1/29		0.8 %	0.97 [0.06, 4.74]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	100.0 %	0.83 [0.65, 1.05]
Total events: 111 (Magnesium	n), 132 (No magnesiu	um)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Cl	$hi^2 = 0.09, df = 2 (P$	= 0.96); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.5$	58 (P = 0.11)				
2 To latest age of follow up -	other intent	77/705		(E (0/	
Magpie 2006	70//70	///////////////////////////////////////		03.0 %	1.27 [0.76, 1.66]
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	0/51		34.4 %	15.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	853	846		100.0 %	3.02 [0.28, 33.04]
Total events: 106 (Magnesium	n), 77 (No magnesiur	n)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 2.25$; ($Chi^2 = 3.14, df = 1$ (1	$P = 0.08$; $ ^2 = 68\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	91 (P = 0.37)				
3 Neonatal (< 28 days) - neu	roprotective intent				
Crowther 2003	61/629	75/626		100.0 %	0.81 [0.59, 1.11]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	100.0 %	0.81 [0.59, 1.11]
Total events: 61 (Magnesium)	, 75 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.3$	80 (P = 0.19)				
4 Neonatal (< 28 days) - oth	er intent	F 0 /7 0 F	-	100.0.9/	
I™lagple 2006	/3//98	58/795		100.0 %	1.25 [0.90, 1.74]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795	•	100.0 %	1.25 [0.90, 1.74]
Total events: 73 (Magnesium)	, 58 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable	(D = 0.10)				
The set for overall effect: $\angle = 1.3$	34 (P = 0.18)	intent			
Crowther 2003	76/629	92/626	+	735%	082[062]083
	70/027	72/020	Ī	75.570	0.02 [0.02, 1.07]
Marret 2006	31/352	32/336	T	26.5 %	0.92 [0.58, 1.48]
Subtotal (95% CI)	981	962	•	100.0 %	0.85 [0.67, 1.08]
Total events: 107 (Magnesium	n), 124 (No magnesiu	um)			
Heterogeneity: $Iau^2 = 0.0$; Cl	$h_1^2 = 0.18, df = 1 (P)$	$= 0.67$; $l^2 = 0.0\%$			
lest for overall effect: $\angle = 1.3$	(× – υ.ι») co				
			Eavours magnesium Eavours no magne	sium	(Continued

(... Continued)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N		F M-H,Ran	Risk Ratio dom,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
6 During primary hospitalisa	tion - other intent						
Magpie 2006	80/798	63/795				100.0 %	1.27 [0.92, 1.73]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 80 (Magnesium Heterogeneity: not applicabl Test for overall effect: Z = 1	798 .), 63 (No magnesium) e .46 (P = 0.14)	795			•	100.0 %	1.27 [0.92, 1.73]
			0.01 Favours ma	0.1 agnesium	I IO IOO Favours no magne	esium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,R	andom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
To latest age of follow up - ne	europrotective intent				
Crowther 2003	78/629	96/626		•	0.81 [0.61, 1.07]
Marret 2006	32/352	35/336		+	0.87 [0.55, 1.38]
Mittendorf 2002	1/30	1/29	_		0.97 [0.06, 4.74]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991		•	0.83 [0.65, 1.05]
Total events: (Magnesium),	132 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ²	= 0.09, df = 2 (P = 0.96); l ²	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.58$	(P = 0.11)				
			0.01 0.1	10 100	
		l	Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	ı

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	R	isk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Ranc	dom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
2 To latest age of follow up - c	other intent				
Magpie 2006	98/798	77/795		•	1.27 [0.96, 1.68]
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	0/51	-		5.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	853	846			3.02 [0.28, 33.04]
Total events: 106 (Magnesium)), 77 (No magnesium)	010			5102 [0120, 55101]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.25; C	$hi^2 = 3.14$, df = 1 (P = 0.08)); I ² =68%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	I (P = 0.37)				
			I I		
			0.01 0.1 1	10 100	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate	e for women at risk of preter	m birth for neuroprotectio	n of the fetus		
Comparison: I Magnesium v	ersus no magnesium				
Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths	ŝ				
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
, 51	n/N	n/N	M-H,Rar	ndom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% CI
2 Nacastal (< 29 days) - sayu	no pueto sti ve intent				
3 Neonatal (< 28 days) - neur	61/629	75/626		-	081[059]111
	(22)	13/020			
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626			0.81 [0.59, 1.11]
Iotal events: 61 (Magnesium),	/5 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	D(D = 0.10)				
lest for overall effect: $\angle -1.30$	J (P – 0.19)				
				10, 100	
			Eavours magnesium	Eavours no magnesium	
			avours magnesium	navours no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N n/N M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% CI	
4 Neonatal (< 28 days) - other	rintent			
Magpie 2006	73/798	58/795	-	1.25 [0.90, 1.74]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795	•	1.25 [0.90, 1.74]
Total events: 73 (Magnesium), 5 Heterogeneity: not applicable	58 (No magnesium)	,,,,		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.34$	(P = 0.18)			
			0.01 0.1 10 100	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate	for women at risk of preter	m birth for neuroprotectior	n of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ve	rsus no magnesium			
Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths				
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Bisk Ratio	Risk Ratio
5100) 51 500 <u>8</u> , 60p	n/N	n/N	M-H.Random,95% Cl	M-H.Random.95% C
				11110 4100110000
5 During primary hospitalisation	n - neuroprotective intent			
Crowther 2003	76/629	92/626	•	0.82 [0.62, 1.09]
Marret 2006	31/352	32/336	+	0.92 [0.58, 1.48]
Subtotal (95% CI)	981	962	•	0.85 [0.67, 1.08]
Total events: 107 (Magnesium),	124 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ²	$^{2} = 0.18$, df = 1 (P = 0.67);	$ ^2 = 0.0\%$		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.33$	(P = 0.18)			
			0.01 0.1 10 100	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 3 Livebirth deaths

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N M-H,Random,95% Cl		ndom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
6 During primary hospitalisation	n - other intent				
Magpie 2006	80/798	63/795		-	1.27 [0.92, 1.73]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		•	1.27 [0.92, 1.73]
Total events: 80 (Magnesium), 6	3 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.46	(P = 0.14)				
			0.01 0.1	10 100	

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Analysis I.4. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 4 Cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 4 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626	-	51.4 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]
Marret 2006	22/352	30/336	=	37.5 %	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]
Mittendorf 2002	3/30	0/29		0.6 %	6.77 [0.37, 25.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	89.5 %	0.83 [0.60, 1.15]
Total events: 61 (Magnesium Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.40$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.), 72 (No magnesium) df = 2 (P = 0.30); I ² = .11 (P = 0.27)	=17%			
Magpie 2006	2/798	5/795		6.1 %	0.40 [0.08, 2.05]
Mittendorf 2002	0/55	3/5 I		4.4 %	0.13[0.01, 2.51]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium),	853 8 (No magnesium)	846	-	10.5 %	0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.42$,	$df = 1 (P = 0.52); I^2 =$	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = I$.	.75 (P = 0.080)				
Total (95% CI)	1864	1837	•	100.0 %	0.77 [0.56, 1.06]
Total events: 63 (Magnesium), 80 (No magnesium)	1			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 4.47$,	df = 4 (P = 0.35); I^2 =	=10%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = I$.	59 (P = 0.11)				
			<u> </u>		
			0.01 0.1 10 100		

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 4 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Neuroprotective				
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626	T	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]
Marret 2006	22/352	30/336	-	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]
Mittendorf 2002	3/30	0/29		6.77 [0.37, 125.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	0.83 [0.60, 1.15]
Total events: 61 (Magnesium), 72	2 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.40$, df =	= 2 (P = 0.30); P = 17%			
Test for overall effect. $\Sigma = 1.11$	(1 - 0.27)			
			0.01 0.1 10 100	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	1
Review: Magnesium sulphate fo	or women at risk of preterm	birth for neuroprotection	of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ver	rsus no magnesium			
Outcome: 4 Cerebrai paisy				
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006	Magnesium n/N 2/798	No magnesium n/N 5/795	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002	Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI)	Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I	Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df =	Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0%	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 ((Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 ((Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 ((Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = I (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]
Study or subgroup 2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Magnesium), 8 (I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 1.75 (Magnesium n/N 2/798 0/55 853 No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.52); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.080)	No magnesium n/N 5/795 3/51 846	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] 0.29 [0.07, 1.16]

Analysis 1.5. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 5 Any neurologic impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 5 Any neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626	-	93.5 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	+	93.5 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Total events: 193 (Magnesiun	n), 187 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	31 (P = 0.75)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	10/798	13/795		6.5 %	0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		6.5 %	0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
Total events: 10 (Magnesium)), I3 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	64 (P = 0.52)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.01 [0.86, 1.19]
Total events: 203 (Magnesiun	n), 200 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.48$,	df = (P = 0.49); $ ^2$ =	:0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	12 (P = 0.90)				
			0.2 0.5 2 5		

0.5 2 5

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 5 Any neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium			Risk Rati	io	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fr	xed,95%	, Cl	IM-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Neuroprotective							
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626					1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626			•		1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Total events: 193 (Magnesium),	187 (No magnesium)						
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	(P = 0.75)						
						1	
			0.2	0.5	2	5	
			Favours ma	agnesium	Favou	rs no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 5 Any neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,F	ixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	10/798	3/795		•	0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
Total events: 10 (Magnesium), 1	3 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.64	(P = 0.52)				
			0.2 0.5	2 5	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis I.6. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 6 Substantial gross motor dysfunction.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 6 Substantial gross motor dysfunction

o accornior o o doostantiar gro					
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	18/629	34/626		98.6 %	0.53 [0.30, 0.92]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	98.6 %	0.53 [0.30, 0.92]
Total events: 18 (Magnesium) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.), 34 (No magnesium) e 24 (P = 0.025)				
2 Other intent Magnie 2006	1/798	0/795		14%	299 [012 7326]
Thagpie 2000	1775	0///5		1.170	2.77 [0.12, 7 5.20]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		1.4 %	2.99 [0.12, 73.26]
Total events: I (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	0 (No magnesium) e 67 (P = 0.50)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	•	100.0 %	0.56 [0.33, 0.97]
Total events: 19 (Magnesium) Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.10$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.0$), 34 (No magnesium) df = 1 (P = 0.29); l ² =99 07 (P = 0.039)	%			
			0.1 10		
		Favours r	nagnesium Favours no m	nagnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 6 Substantial gross motor dysfunction

	i lagnesiann	INO magnesium	Risk Ra	tio Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,959	6 Cl M-H,Fixed,95% C
Neuroprotective				
Crowther 2003	18/629	34/626	—	0.53 [0.30 0.92
	(20	626		
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	0.53 [0.30, 0.92
Heterogeneity: not applicable	4 (No magnesium)			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.24$	(P = 0.025)			
	· · ·			1
			0.1	10
			Favours magnesium Fav	ours no magnesium
Review: Magnesium sulphate i	for women at risk of prete	rm birth for neuroprotect	ion of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ve Outeeneeu (Substantial seese	rsus no magnesium			
Outcome: 6 Substantial gross	motor dysfunction			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Rati	o Risk Ratio
,	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95%	CI M-H,Fixed,95% CI
2 Other intent				
Magnie 2006	1/798	0/795		
	1///0	0///3		2.77 [0.12, 73.20
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		2.99 [0.12, 73.26
Iotal events: 1 (Magnesium), 0	(No magnesium)			
l latava ganaitu nat applicable				
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.67$	(P - 0.50)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1)
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 1 In Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 1 II Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 1 H) urs no magnesium
-leterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 1 II Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.67	(P = 0.50)		0.1 I Favours magnesium Favo) urs no magnesium

Analysis 1.7. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 7 Blindness.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 7 Blindness

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	1/629	1/626	_	33.3 %	1.00 [0.06, 15.88]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626		33.3 %	1.00 [0.06, 15.88]
Total events: (Magnesium),	I (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.0$	00 (P = 1.0)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	1/798	2/795		66.7 %	0.50 [0.05, 5.48]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		66. 7 %	0.50 [0.05, 5.48]
Total events: (Magnesium),	2 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.5$	57 (P = 0.57)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421		100.0 %	0.66 [0.11, 3.97]
Total events: 2 (Magnesium),	3 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.14$,	df = (P = 0.7); $ ^2 = 0.0$	%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	45 (P = 0.65)				
			0.1 1 10		

Favours magnesium

Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Companson. I magnesium versus no i

Outcome: 7 Blindness

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Neuroprotective Crowther 2003	1/629	1/676		100[0.06]588]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626		1.00 [0.06, 15.88]
Total events: (Magnesium), (Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.00	No magnesium) (P = 1.0)			
		Favour	0.1 I I O rs magnesium Favours no	magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 7 Blindness

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	F	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fix	«ed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	1/798	2/795			0.50 [0.05, 5.48]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			0.50 [0.05, 5.48]
Total events: (Magnesium), 2 ((No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.57$	(P = 0.57)				
			1		
			0.1	1 10	
		Fa	vours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis I.8. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 8 Deafness.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 8 Deafness

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	Magnesium No magnesium R n/N n/N M-H,Fix) Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	8/629	7/626		87.5 %	1.14[0.41, 3.12]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	-	87.5 %	1.14 [0.41, 3.12]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium),	7 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	25 (P = 0.80)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	1/798	1/795		12.5 %	1.00 [0.06, 15.90]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		12.5 %	1.00 [0.06, 15.90]
Total events: I (Magnesium),	I (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.0$	00 (P = 1.0)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	-	100.0 %	1.12 [0.43, 2.89]
Total events: 9 (Magnesium),	8 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.01$,	df = 1 (P = 0.93); $I^2 =$	0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	23 (P = 0.81)				
			0.1 1 10		
		Favours	magnesium Favou	rs no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 8 Deafness

Neuroprotective		i to magnesiam	Tubic Fidelo	RISK RATIO
I Neuroprotective	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% C
Crowther 2003	8/629	7/626		1.14 [0.41, 3.12
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	-	1.14 [0.41, 3.12
Total events: 8 (Magnesium), 7 (¹	No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable	- /			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.25$ ((P = 0.80)			
			0.1 10	
			Favours magnesium Favours no mag	nesium
Review: Magnesium sulphate fo	or women at risk of prete	rm birth for neuroprotecti	on of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ver	rsus no magnesium			
Outcome: 8 Deafness				
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% C
2 Other intent				
Z Other Intent Magnie 2006	1/798	1/795		
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		1.00 [0.06, 15.90
Heterogeneity: not applicable	No magnesium)			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.00$ ((P = 1.0)			
	(****)			
			0.1 1 10	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magne	esium

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.9. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 9 Developmental delay or intellectual impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 9 Developmental delay or intellectual impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Ri	sk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixe	ed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Neuroprotective						
Crowther 2003	176/629	170/626	-		94.4 %	1.03 [0.86, 1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	•	94.4 %	1.03 [0.86, 1.23]
Total events: 176 (Magnesium	n), 170 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	33 (P = 0.74)					
2 Other intent						
Magpie 2006	8/798	10/795			5.6 %	0.80 [0.32, 2.01]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			5.6 %	0.80 [0.32, 2.01]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium),	10 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	48 (P = 0.63)					
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	•	100.0 %	1.02 [0.85, 1.21]
Total events: 184 (Magnesium	n), 180 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.29, o	df = 1 (P = 0.59); $I^2 = 0.0$)%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.1$	19 (P = 0.85)					
			0.2 0.5	2 5		

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 9 Developmental delay or intellectual impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N		l M-H,Fiz	Risk Ratio xed,95%	cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Neuroprotective	17///20	170//07					
Crowther 2003	176/629	170/626					1.03 [0.86, 1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626			•		1.03 [0.86, 1.23]
Total events: 176 (Magnesium),	170 (No magnesium)						
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.33$	(P = 0.74)						
						1	
			0.2	0.5	1 2	5	
			Favours m	agnesium	Favour	s no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 9 Developmental delay or intellectual impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,	Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	8/798	10/795			0.80 [0.32, 2.01]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795		-	0.80 [0.32, 2.01]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium), 10) (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.48$	(P = 0.63)				
			0.2 0.5	2 5	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	1

Analysis 1.10. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 10 Major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 10 Major neurologic disability

.

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	M-H	Risk Ratio Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I Neuroprotective						
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626		+-	85.7 %	. 4 [0.86, .5]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626		•	85.7 %	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
Total events: 89 (Magnesium),	, 78 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	88 (P = 0.38)					
2 Other intent						
Magpie 2006	9/798	3/795		•	14.3 %	0.69 [0.30, 1.60]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			14.3 %	0.69 [0.30, 1.60]
Total events: 9 (Magnesium),	13 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	36 (P = 0.39)					
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421		+	100.0 %	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]
Total events: 98 (Magnesium),	, 91 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.21$, c	$ff = (P = 0.27); ^2 = 7\%$	6				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.5$	01 (P = 0.61)					
			0.2 0.5	1 2 5		
			Favours magnesium	Favours no mag	nesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 10 Major neurologic disability

	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
Neuroprotective				
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626	+	I.I4 [0.86, I.5I <u>]</u>
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
otal events: 89 (Magnesium), 7	78 (No magnesium)			
leterogeneity: not applicable				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.88$	(P = 0.38)			
			0.2 0.5 2 5	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate	for women at risk of preter	m birth for neuroprotection	of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ve	rsus no magnesium			
Outcome: 10 Major neurolog	ic disability			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
, 5 ,	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Other intent				
Magpie 2006	9/798	13/795		0.69 [0.30, 1.60]
	709	705		
Subtotal (95% CI)	790	/95		0.09 [0.30, 1.00]
Heterogeneity: not applicable	(No magnesium)			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.86$	(P = 0.39)			
	, , ,			
			02 05 1 2 5	
			0.2 0.3 2 5	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	
			Favours magnesium	

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.11. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 11 Death or cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: II Death or cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/in	11/1N			1°I-IT,Rahuom,73% CI
I Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	123/629	149/626	•	33.2 %	0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
Marret 2006	56/352	68/336	-	25.5 %	0.79 [0.57, 1.08]
Mittendorf 2002	5/30	1/29		1.4 %	4.83 [0.60, 38.90]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	60.1 %	0.83 [0.64, 1.07]
Total events: 184 (Magnesiur	m), 218 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.02$;	$Chi^2 = 2.86, df = 2$ (I	$P = 0.24$); $ ^2 = 30\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	.46 (P = 0.14)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	211/798	193/795	•	36.2 %	1.09 [0.92, 1.29]
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	3/51	+	3.7 %	2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
Subtotal (95% CI)	853	846	•	39.9 %	1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
Total events: 219 (Magnesiur	m), 196 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.12$;	$Chi^2 = 1.58, df = 1$ (1	$P = 0.21$; $ ^2 = 37\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	.75 (P = 0.45)				
Total (95% CI)	1864	1837	+	100.0 %	0.96 [0.75, 1.24]
Total events: 403 (Magnesiur	m), 414 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.04$;	$Chi^2 = 10.16, df = 4$	$(P = 0.04); I^2 = 6 I\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	.31 (P = 0.76)				

0.1 Favours magnesium

Favours no magnesium

10

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: II Death or cerebral palsy

, 6 1	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% CI
Neuroprotective				
Crowther 2003	123/629	149/626	-	0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
Marret 2006	56/352	68/336	-	0.79 [0.57, 1.08]
Mittendorf 2002	5/30	1/29		4.83 [0.60, 38.90]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1011	991	•	0.83 [0.64, 1.07]
Total events: 184 (Magnesium),	218 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.02$; Chi	$^2 = 2.86$, df = 2 (P = 0.24)	; l ² =30%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.46$	(P = 0.14)			
			0.1 10	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	sium
Review: Magnesium sulphate f	or women at risk of preten	m birth for neuroprotection	on of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium vei	rsus no magnesium			
Outcome: IT Death or cerebi	rai paisy			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
2 Other intent				
2 Other intent Magpie 2006	211/798	193/795	-	1.09 [0.92, 1.29]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002	211/798 8/55	193/795 3/51		1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI)	211/798 8/55 853	193/795 3/51 846	•	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium),	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium)	193/795 3/51 846	•	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	•	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; I ² =37%	•	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	•	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	0.1 10	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; i ² =37%	0.1 IO Favours magnesium	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; I ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	93/795 3/5 846 ; ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; I ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; 1 ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	93/795 3/5 846 ; ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]
2 Other intent Magpie 2006 Mittendorf 2002 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 219 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.12; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.75	211/798 8/55 853 196 (No magnesium) ² = 1.58, df = 1 (P = 0.21) (P = 0.45)	193/795 3/51 846 ; I ² =37%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium Favours no magnes	1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 2.47 [0.69, 8.81] 1.28 [0.68, 2.41]

Analysis 1.12. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 12 Death or any neurologic impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 12 Death or any neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626	=	59.4 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	59.4 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Total events: 280 (Magnesium	n), 294 (No magnesium	n)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	87 (P = 0.38)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	219/798	201/795		40.6 %	1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795	•	40.6 %	1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
Total events: 219 (Magnesium	n), 201 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable	2				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	98 (P = 0.33)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	•	100.0 %	1.00 [0.91, 1.11]
Total events: 499 (Magnesium	n), 495 (No magnesium	ı)			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.74, o	df = $ (P = 0.19); ^2 = 4$	2%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.0$	07 (P = 0.94)				
			0.2 0.5 2 5		

Favours magnesium Favours no magneisum

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 12 Death or any neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N		F M-H Fix	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio M-H Fixed 95% Cl
	1011	17/1 N		1 1-1 1,1 12		
l Neuroprotective						
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626			-	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626		•	•	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Total events: 280 (Magnesium),	, 294 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.87$	r (P = 0.38)					
				1		
			0.2	0.5	1 2 5	
			Favours m	agnesium	Favours no magneisum	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 12 Death or any neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		l	Risk Rat	io	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi	xed,95%	S CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
2 Other intent							
Magpie 2006	219/798	201/795			-		1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			•		1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
Total events: 219 (Magnesium)	, 201 (No magnesium)						
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.98$	(P = 0.33)						
				i.			
			0.2	0.5	1 2	5	
			Favours ma	gnesium	Favou	rs no magneisum	

Analysis 1.13. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 13 Death or substantial gross motor dysfunction.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 13 Death or substantial gross motor dysfunction

				-			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		ŀ	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Ran	dom,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I Neuroprotective							
Crowther 2003	105/629	141/626				48.2 %	0.74 [0.59, 0.93]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626		•		48.2 %	0.74 [0.59, 0.93]
Total events: 105 (Magnesium)	, 141 (No magnesiu	m)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.59	P (P = 0.0097)						
2 Other intent							
Magpie 2006	210/798	188/795		I	-	51.8 %	. [0.94, .32]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			•	51.8 %	1.11 [0.94, 1.32]
Total events: 210 (Magnesium)	, 188 (No magnesiu	m)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.23$	8 (P = 0.22)						
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421		-	►	100.0 %	0.91 [0.61, 1.36]
Total events: 315 (Magnesium)	, 329 (No magnesiu	m)					
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.07$; Cł	$hi^2 = 7.88, df = 1$ (P	² = 0.005); l ² =87%					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.44$	+ (P = 0.66)						
			0.2	0.5	2 5		
			Favours ma	Ignesium	Favours no mag	gnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 13 Death or substantial gross motor dysfunction

Study of subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (
I Neuroprotective				
Crowther 2003	105/629	141/626	-	0.74 [0.59, 0.93]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	0.74 [0.59, 0.93]
Total events: 105 (Magnesium),	141 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.59$	(P = 0.0097)			
			0.2 0.5 2 5	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Review: Magnesium sulphate	for women at risk of preter	m birth for neuroprotection	of the fetus	
Comparison: I Magnesium ve	ersus no magnesium			
Outcome: 13 Death or subst	antial gross motor dysfuncti	on		
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% C
2 Other intent				
Magpie 2006	210/798	188/795	—	. [0.94, .32]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795	•	1.11 [0.94, 1.32]
Total events: 210 (Magnesium).	188 (No magnesium)	175		1011 [009 1, 100]
Heterogeneity: not applicable				
	(P = 0.22)			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(1 0122)			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(. 0.12)			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0.2 0.5 2 5	
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(* 0.22)		0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(0.22)		0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(0.22)		0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23	(0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n

Analysis 1.14. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 14 Death or major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 14 Death or major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
l Neuroprotective					
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	+	47.9 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	+	47.9 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Total events: 176 (Magnesium	n), 185 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.6$	51 (P = 0.54)				
2 Other intent					
Magpie 2006	218/798	201/795	•	52.1 %	1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795	•	52.1 %	1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
Total events: 218 (Magnesium	n), 201 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.9$	92 (P = 0.36)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.02 [0.90, 1.15]
Total events: 394 (Magnesium	n), 386 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.17, c	$f = (P = 0.28); ^2 = 49$	%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	27 (P = 0.79)				
			0.2 0.5 1 2 5		

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

.

Outcome: 14 Death or major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	M-H	Risk Ratio ,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
l Neuroprotective Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626		-	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 176 (Magnesium),	629 185 (No magnesium)	626		•	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.61	(P = 0.54)				
			0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiun	2 5 n Favours no magnesium	

Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus (Review) Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 49

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 14 Death or major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium			Risk Rat	io	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi	xed,95%	6 Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
2 Other intent							
Magpie 2006	218/798	201/795			=		1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
Subtotal (95% CI)	798	795			•		1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
Total events: 218 (Magnesium),	, 201 (No magnesium)						
Heterogeneity: not applicable							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.92$	(P = 0.36)						
			I				
			0.2	0.5	1 2	5	
			Favours m	agnesium	Favou	irs no magnesium	

Analysis 1.15. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 15 Maternal mortality.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 15 Maternal mortality

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	0/535	0/527		0.0 %	Not estimable
Magpie 2006	10/765	7/779		82.0 %	1.45 [0.56, 3.80]
Marret 2006	0/286	1/278		18.0 %	0.32 [0.01, 7.92]
Total (95% CI) Total events: 10 (Magnesia Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.7 Test for overall effect: Z =	1586 um), 8 (No magnesiun 78, df = 1 (P = 0.38); F = 0.49 (P = 0.62)	1584 n) ² =0.0%	•	100.0 %	1.25 [0.51, 3.07]
		Favours n	0.1 IO nagnesium Favours no magn	esium	
1agnesium sulphate fo Copyright © 2008 The (r women at risk of Cochrane Collabor	preterm birth for neurop ation. Published by John '	protection of the fetus (Review Wiley & Sons, Ltd.	w)	50



Analysis 1.17. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 17 Maternal respiratory arrest.

Risk Ratio

10

Favours no magnesium

M-H,Fixed,95% CI

Weight

0.0 %

100.0 %

0.0 %

100.0 %

Analysis 1.16. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 16 Maternal cardiac arrest.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

No magnesium

n/N

0/527

1/779

0/278

1584

0.1

Favours magnesium

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 17 Maternal respiratory arrest

Total events: | (Magnesium), | (No magnesium)

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.01 (P = 0.99)

Magnesium

n/N

0/535

1/765

0/286

1586

Study or subgroup

Crowther 2003

Magpie 2006

Marret 2006

Total (95% CI)

Heterogeneity: not applicable

Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus (Review) Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 51

Risk Ratio

Not estimable

Not estimable

1.02 [0.06, 16.25]

1.02 [0.06, 16.25]

M-H,Fixed,95% CI



Analysis 1.18. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 18 Cessation of maternal therapy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 18 Cessation of maternal therapy

Analysis 1.19. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 19 Intraventricular haemorrhage.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 19 Intraventricular haemorrhage

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	165/629	148/626	•	60.9 %	1.11 [0.92, 1.34]
Marret 2006	71/352	82/336	-	34.4 %	0.83 [0.62, 1.09]
Mittendorf 2002	13/85	11/80		4.7 %	. [0.53, 2.34]
Total (95% CI)	1066	1042	+	100.0 %	1.01 [0.87, 1.18]
Total events: 249 (Magne	esium), 241 (No magne	esium)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2$.	.95, df = 2 (P = 0.23); I	² =32%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.15 (P = 0.88)				
			0.2 0.5 2 5		

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Analysis I.20. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 20 Cystic periventricular leucomalacia.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 20 Cystic periventricular leucomalacia

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	I	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fi	xed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
Crowther 2003	22/629	21/626	-	-	41.9 %	1.04 [0.58, 1.88]
Marret 2006	27/352	28/336	+	-	57.1 %	0.92 [0.55, 1.53]
Mittendorf 2002	1/85	0/80			1.0 %	2.83 [0.12, 68.37]
Total (95% CI)	1066	1042		•	100.0 %	0.99 [0.68, 1.45]
Total events: 50 (Magnesi	um), 49 (No magnesiu	ım)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.5$	53, df = 2 (P = 0.77); I	2 =0.0%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.05 (P = 0.96)					
			0.1	1 10		
		Fa	avours magnesium	Favours no ma	gnesium	

Analysis 1.21. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 21 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 21 Apgar score < 7 at 5 minutes

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	94/629	91/626		74.2 %	1.03 [0.79, 1.34]
Marret 2006	45/352	31/336		25.8 %	1.39 [0.90, 2.14]
Total (95% CI) Total events: 139 (Magne Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1. Test for overall effect: Z	981 sium), 122 (No magne 33, df = 1 (P = 0.25); F = 0.98 (P = 0.33)	962 sium) ² =25%	•	100.0 %	1.12 [0.89, 1.40]
			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiur	1	

Analysis I.22. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 22 Neonatal convulsions.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 22 Neonatal convulsions

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Rati	0	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fix	ed,95%	CI		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
Crowther 2003	25/629	32/626			_		77.7 %	0.78 [0.47, 1.30]
Marret 2006	7/352	9/336					22.3 %	0.74 [0.28, 1.97]
Total (95% CI)	981	962		-	-		100.0 %	0.77 [0.49, 1.21]
Total events: 32 (Magnesi	um), 41 (No magnesiu	m)						
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.0$	01, df = 1 (P = 0.93); 1	2 =0.0%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	= I.I3 (P = 0.26)							
			I.			i.		
			0.2	0.5	2	5		
			Favours ma	gnesium	Favou	rs no magnesiur	n	

Analysis 1.23. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 23 Ongoing respiratory support.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 23 Ongoing respiratory support

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Ris M-H,Fixe	sk Ratio d,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	•		50.9 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Marret 2006	191/352	175/336	+		49.1 %	1.04 [0.91, 1.20]
Total (95% CI) Total events: 367 (Magne	981 sium), 360 (No magne:	962 sium)	+		100.0 %	0.99 [0.89, 1.11]
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.11 (P = 0.91)	-0.078				
			0.2 0.5 Favours magnesium	2 5 Favours no magnes	ium	

Analysis I.24. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 24 Chronic lung disease.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 24 Chronic lung disease

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Oxygen at 28 days					
Crowther 2003	280/629	260/626	+	100.0 %	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626	•	100.0 %	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
Total events: 280 (Magnesium), 260 (No magnesiur	n)			
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.0$	7 (P = 0.29)				
2 Oxygen at 36 weeks					
Crowther 2003	192/629	164/626		83.8 %	1.17 [0.98, 1.39]
Marret 2006	28/352	31/336		16.2 %	0.86 [0.53, 1.41]
Subtotal (95% CI)	981	962	•	100.0 %	1.12 [0.95, 1.32]
Total events: 220 (Magnesium), 195 (No magnesiur	n)			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.30, d	$f = (P = 0.25); ^2 =$	23%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.2$	9 (P = 0.20)				
			0.2 0.5 2 5		

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 24 Chronic lung disease

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,F	Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I Oxygen at 28 days					
Crowther 2003	280/629	260/626		H	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	629	626		•	1.07 [0.94, 1.22]
Total events: 280 (Magnesium),	260 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.07	(P = 0.29)				
			0.2 0.5	2 5	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 24 Chronic lung disease

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi	xed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
2 Oxygen at 36 weeks						
Crowther 2003	192/629	164/626			-	1.17 [0.98, 1.39]
Marret 2006	28/352	31/336		-		0.86 [0.53, 1.41]
Subtotal (95% CI)	981	962			•	1.12 [0.95, 1.32]
Total events: 220 (Magnesium),	195 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.30$, df	= I (P = 0.25); I ² =23%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.29	(P = 0.20)					
				i		
			0.2	0.5	1 2 5	
			Favours m	agnesium	Favours no magnesium	n

Analysis 1.25. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 25 Maternal hypotension.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 25 Maternal hypotension

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	
Crowther 2003	77/535	52/527			+	99.0 %	1.46 [1.05, 2.03]
Marret 2006	3/286	0/278		_		1.0 %	6.80 [0.35, 3 . 4]
Total (95% CI) Total events: 80 (Magnesia Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.0$ Test for overall effect: Z =	821 um), 52 (No magnesium)4, df = 1 (P = 0.31); I ² = 2.47 (P = 0.014)	805)) =4%			•	100.0 %	1.51 [1.09, 2.09]
			0.01	0.1	10 100		
			Favours mag	gnesium	Favours no magne	esium	
Magnesium sulphate for Copyright © 2008 The O	r women at risk of p Cochrane Collabora	reterm birth for not tion. Published by	europrotec John Wiley	tion of t & Sons	the fetus (Revie , Ltd.	w)	56

Analysis I.26. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 26 Maternal tachycardia.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 26 Maternal tachycardia

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Rat	io	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi>	ked,95%	CI		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	56/535	36/527					100.0 %	1.53 [1.03, 2.29]
Total (95% CI)	535	527			•		100.0 %	1.53 [1.03, 2.29]
Total events: 56 (Magnesi	um), 36 (No magnesiu	m)						
Heterogeneity: not applic	able							
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 2.09 (P = 0.037)							
						i.		
			0.2	0.5	2	5		
			Favours ma	Ignesium	Favou	rs no magnesium	ı	

Analysis 1.27. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 27 Maternal respiratory depression.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 27 Maternal respiratory depression

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	M-H,Fi	Risk Ratio xed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	34/535	28/527	_	-	100.0 %	1.20 [0.74, 1.94]
Total (95% CI)	535	527	-	•	100.0 %	1.20 [0.74, 1.94]
Total events: 34 (Magnesi Heterogeneity: not applic Test for overall effect: Z =	um), 28 (No magnesiu able = 0.72 (P = 0.47)	m)				
			0.2 0.5			
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magne	isium	

Analysis 1.28. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 28 Postpartum haemorrhage.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 28 Postpartum haemorrhage

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	86/535	99/527		99.0 %	0.86 [0.66, 1.11]
Marret 2006	2/286	1/278		1.0 %	1.94 [0.18, 21.32]
Total (95% CI)	821	805	•	100.0 %	0.87 [0.67, 1.12]
Total events: 88 (Magnesi	ium), 100 (No magnesi	ium)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.4$	45, df = 1 (P = 0.50); I	2 =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.08 (P = 0.28)				
			0.1 10		
		Favours	magnesium Favours no mag	nesium	

Analysis 1.29. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 29 Caesarean birth.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium Outcome: 29 Caesarean birth

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Ratio	weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fix	ed,95% (CI	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	289/535	290/527				34.7 %	0.98 [0.88, 1.10]
Magpie 2006	488/765	456/779			<mark>+</mark>	53.7 %	1.09 [1.01, 1.18]
Marret 2006	116/286	96/278			•	11.6 %	1.17 [0.95, 1.46]
Total (95% CI)	1586	1584			•	100.0 %	1.06 [1.00, 1.13]
Total events: 893 (Magne	sium), 842 (No magne	sium)					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 3.2$	22, df = 2 (P = 0.20); I	2 =38%					
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.89 (P = 0.059)						
			0.2	0.5	2	5	

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Analysis 1.30. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 30 Mother admitted to intensive care unit.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 30 Mother admitted to intensive care unit

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Rati	c	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fix	ed,95%	Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	0/535	0/527					0.0 %	Not estimable
Magpie 2006	28/765	32/779			-		100.0 %	0.89 [0.54, 1.47]
Total (95% CI)	1300	1306		-	>		100.0 %	0.89 [0.54, 1.47]
Total events: 28 (Magnesi	ium), 32 (No magnesiu	m)						
Heterogeneity: not applic	able							
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.45 (P = 0.65)							
			0.2	0.5	2	5		
			Favours ma	Ignesium	Favour	s no magnesium		

Analysis I.31. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 31 Duration of mother's hospital stay (days).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 31 Duration of mother's hospital stay (days)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	1	No magnesium		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	IV,Fixed,95% CI		IV,Fixed,95% CI
Crowther 2003	535	5.2 (3.6)	527	5 (2.6)	+	87.5 %	0.20 [-0.18, 0.58]
Magpie 2006	765	(0)	779	(10)	+	12.5 %	0.0 [-1.00, 1.00]
Total (95% CI)	1300		1306		•	100.0 %	0.17 [-0.18, 0.53]
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 0.14, df = 1 (P	= 0.7 l); l ² =0.0%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.97 (P = 0	.33)					
						L.	
						_	



Analysis 1.32. Comparison I Magnesium versus no magnesium, Outcome 32 Duration of primary hospital stay for baby (days).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: I Magnesium versus no magnesium

Outcome: 32 Duration of primary hospital stay for baby (days)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium		No magnesium			Mea	n Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	ľ	V,Rande	om,95% Cl		IV,Random,95% CI
Crowther 2003	620	72.9 (36.7)	615	71.1 (42.4)				39.0 %	1.80 [-2.62, 6.22]
Magpie 2006	798	17 (19)	795	19 (34)			_	61.0 %	-2.00 [-4.71, 0.71]
Total (95% CI)	1418		1410			-		100.0 %	-0.52 [-4.15, 3.11]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 3.72; Chi ² = 2	.06, df = 1 (P =	0.15); I ² =52%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.28 (P = 0.28)	0.78)							
								I	
					-10 -5	5 (D 5 I	0	
				Favo	urs magnes	sium	Favours no r	nagnesium	

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% CI
< 34 weeks at randomisati	on				
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	-	34.3 %	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Magpie 2006	167/404	158/401	-	41.3 %	1.05 [0.89, 1.24]
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	+	22.5 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Mittendorf 2002	10/85	1/80		1.9 %	9.41 [1.23, 71.86]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 298 (Magnesiun Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Test for overall effect: Z = 0. $2 \le 30$ weeks at randomisati	1470 n), 304 (No magnesiu Chi ² = 7.82, df = 3 (P 32 (P = 0.75) on	1443 m) = 0.05); I ² =62%	•	100.0 %	0.95 [0.72, 1.27]
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	-	46.3 %	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Magpie 2006	100/140	89/142	-	53.7 %	1.14 [0.97, 1.34]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 187 (Magnesiun	769 n), 196 (No magnesiu	768	+	100.0 %	0.97 [0.67, 1.41]
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.06$;	$Chi^2 = 5.87, df = 1 (P$	² = 0.02); I ² =83%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	15 (P = 0.88)				
			0.1 10		
		Favours	magnesium Favours no magn	esium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	F M-H,Ran	Risk Ratio dom,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
< 34 weeks at randomisation					
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	-	•	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Magpie 2006	167/404	158/401		•	1.05 [0.89, 1.24]
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	-	-	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Mittendorf 2002	10/85	1/80			9.41 [1.23, 71.86]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1470	1443	•	-	0.95 [0.72, 1.27]
Total events: 298 (Magnesium), 3 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.32$ (804 (No magnesium) 2 = 7.82, df = 3 (P = 0.05) P = 0.75)	; I ² =62%			
			0.1 Favours magnesium	10 Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate fc Comparison: 2 Gestational age Outcome: I Paediatric mortalit	or women at risk of preten subgroup ty (fetal and later)	n birth for neuroprotecti	on of the fetus		
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	F M-H,Ran	Nisk Ratio dom,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626			0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Magpie 2006	100/140	89/142		-	1.14 [0.97, 1.34]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 187 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.15$ (769 96 (No magnesium) ² = 5.87, df = 1 (P = 0.02) P = 0.88)	768 : 1 ² =83%	•	•	0.97 [0.67, 1.41]
			0.1	10	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
< 34 weeks at randomisati	on				
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626	=	53.4 %	0.85 [0.55, .3]
Magpie 2006	2/404	3/401		3.8 %	0.66 [0.11, 3.94]
Marret 2006	22/352	30/336	+	38.9 %	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]
Mittendorf 2002	3/85	3/80		3.9 %	0.94 [0.20, 4.53]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1470	1443	•	100.0 %	0.79 [0.57, 1.09]
Total events: 63 (Magnesium)), 78 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.41$, o	df = 3 (P = 0.94); $ ^2 = 0$	0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.4$	44 (P = 0.15)				
2 < 30 weeks at randomisati	on				
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626	-	97.7 %	0.85 [0.55, .3]
Magpie 2006	1/140	1/142		2.3 %	1.01 [0.06, 16.06]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768	•	100.0 %	0.86 [0.56, 1.31]
Total events: 37 (Magnesium)), 43 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.01$, of	df = 1 (P = 0.90); $I^2 = 0$	0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.7$	71 (P = 0.48)				
			0.1 1 10		
		Favours	magnesium Favours no mag	nesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I < 34 weeks at randomisation				
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626	+	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]
Magpie 2006	2/404	3/401		0.66 [0.11, 3.94]
Marret 2006	22/352	30/336	-	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]
Mittendorf 2002	3/85	3/80		0.94 [0.20, 4.53]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1470	1443	•	0.79 [0.57, 1.09]
Total events: 63 (Magnesium), 78 Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.41$, df = 2 Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.44$ (P	(No magnesium) 8 (P = 0.94); I ² =0.0% = 0.15)			
		1	-avours magnesium Favours no magne	sium
Review: Magnesium sulphate for Comparison: 2 Gestational age s Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup	women at risk of preterm ubgroup Magnesium n/N	birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Review: Magnesium sulphate for Comparison: 2 Gestational age s Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup 2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003	women at risk of preterm ubgroup Magnesium n/N 36/629	birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 0.85 [0.55, 1.31]
Review: Magnesium sulphate for Comparison: 2 Gestational age s Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup 2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	women at risk of preterm ubgroup Magnesium n/N 36/629 1/140	birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N 42/626 1/142	of the fetus Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 0.85 [0.55, 1.31] 1.01 [0.06, 16.06]
Review: Magnesium sulphate for Comparison: 2 Gestational age s Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup 2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI)	women at risk of preterm ubgroup Magnesium n/N 36/629 I/I40 769	birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N 42/626 1/142 768	of the fetus Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 0.85 [0.55, 1.31] 1.01 [0.06, 16.06] 0.86 [0.56, 1.31]
Review: Magnesium sulphate for Comparison: 2 Gestational age s Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup 2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 37 (Magnesium), 43 d Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.01, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.71 (P	women at risk of preterm ubgroup Magnesium n/N 36/629 1/140 769 (No magnesium) 1 (P = 0.90); 1 ² =0.0% = 0.48)	birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N 42/626 1/142 768	of the fetus Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 0.85 [0.55, 1.31] 1.01 [0.06, 16.06] 0.86 [0.56, 1.31]
Review: Magnesium sulphate for Comparison: 2 Gestational age s Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup 2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 37 (Magnesium), 43 (Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.01, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.71 (P	women at risk of preterm ubgroup Magnesium n/N 36/629 1/140 769 (No magnesium) I (P = 0.90); I ² =0.0% = 0.48)	birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N 42/626 1/142 768	of the fetus Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 0.85 [0.55, 1.31] 1.01 [0.06, 16.06] 0.86 [0.56, 1.31]

Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I < 34 weeks at randomisatic	on				
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626	-	96.4 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Magpie 2006	5/404	7/401		3.6 %	0.71 [0.23, 2.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027	+	100.0 %	1.02 [0.86, 1.20]
Total events: 198 (Magnesium), 194 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.40$, c	If = $ (P = 0.53); ^2 =$	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.1$	8 (P = 0.85)				
2 < 30 weeks at randomisatio	n				
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626	-	98.4 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Magpie 2006	3/140	3/142		1.6 %	1.01 [0.21, 4.94]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768	+	100.0 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Total events: 196 (Magnesium), 190 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.00$, c	If = $ (P = 0.99); ^2 =$	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	I (P = 0.76)				

0.5 | 2 5 0.2

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	M-H,Fiz	Risk Ratio xed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I < 34 weeks at randomisation					
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626		+	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Magpie 2006	5/404	7/401			0.71 [0.23, 2.22]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 198 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.40$, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.18	1033 194 (No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.53); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.85)	1027		•	1.02 [0.86, 1.20]
			0.2 0.5 Favours magnesium	I 2 5 Favours no magnesium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Rat	io	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi>	ked,95%	S CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation							
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626		ł	•		1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Magpie 2006	3/140	3/142	-		-		1.01 [0.21, 4.94]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768			•		1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Total events: 196 (Magnesium),	190 (No magnesium)						
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.00$, df =	= I (P = 0.99); I ² =0.0%						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$ I	(P = 0.76)						
			0.2	0.5	1 2	5	
			Favours ma	agnesium	Favou	rs no magnesium	

Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	M-H,Fi	Risk Ratio xed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
< 34 weeks at randomisation	on					
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626			91.8 %	1.14[0.86, 1.51]
Magpie 2006	4/404	7/401	n		8.2 %	0.57 [0.17, 1.92]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027		•	100.0 %	1.09 [0.83, 1.43]
Total events: 93 (Magnesium)	, 85 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.18, d	$f = (P = 0.28); ^2 =$	=15%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.6$	51 (P = 0.54)					
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation	on					
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626			96.3 %	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
Magpie 2006	2/140	3/142			3.7 %	0.68 [0.11, 3.99]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768		•	100.0 %	1.12 [0.85, 1.48]
Total events: 91 (Magnesium)	, 81 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.32$, o	$f = 1 (P = 0.57); I^2 =$	=0.0%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.7$	79 (P = 0.43)					
			0.2 0.5	2 5		
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magne	esium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I < 34 weeks at randomisation				
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626	-	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
Magpie 2006	4/404	7/401		0.57 [0.17, 1.92]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027	•	1.09 [0.83, 1.43]
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.18$, df = 1	$(P = 0.28); I^2 = I5\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.61$ (P	= 0.54)			
			0.2 0.5 2 5	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
		1		
Comparison: 2 Gestational age si	women at risk of pretern ubgroup	n birth for neuroprotection	of the fetus	
Outcome: 4 Major neurologic dis	sability			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation				
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626	-	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
Magpie 2006	2/140	3/142		0.68 [0.11, 3.99]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768	*	1.12 [0.85, 1.48]
Total events: 91 (Magnesium), 81 (No magnesium)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.32$, df = 1	(P = 0.57); I ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.79$ (P	= 0.43)			
			02 05 2 5	-
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
Magnesium sulphate for wome	n at risk of protorm b	irth for neuroprotectic	on of the fetus (Review)	

Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Weight Risk Ratio n/N n/N M-H,Random,95% Cl M-H,Random,95% Cl I < 34 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 123/629 149/626 33.3 % 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] Magpie 2006 169/404 161/401 36.7 % 1.04 [0.88, 1.23] Marret 2006 56/352 68/336 25.3 % 0.79 [0.57, 1.08] Mittendorf 2002 13/85 4/80 4.7 % 3.06 [1.04, 8.99] Subtotal (95% CI) 1470 1443 100.0 % 0.94 [0.74, 1.21] Total events: 361 (Magnesium), 382 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 8.85, df = 3 (P = 0.03); $I^2 = 66\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 0.46 (P = 0.64) 2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 123/629 149/626 48.1 % 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 101/140 90/142 1.14 [0.97, 1.34] Magpie 2006 51.9 % 769 768 0.97 [0.69, 1.38] Subtotal (95% CI) 100.0 % Total events: 224 (Magnesium), 239 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.05; Chi² = 6.92, df = 1 (P = 0.01); l² =86% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.15 (P = 0.88)

0.2

Favours magnesium

0.5

2 5

Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

	i to magnesium	INSK INdUO	Risk Ratio
n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
123/629	149/626	-	0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
169/404	161/401	-	1.04 [0.88, 1.23]
56/352	68/336	-	0.79 [0.57, 1.08]
13/85	4/80		3.06 [1.04, 8.99]
1470	1443	+	0.94 [0.74, 1.21]
2 (No magnesium) = 8.85, df = 3 (P = 0.03) = 0.64)	; ² =66%		
		0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium	
women at risk of preten ubgroup palsy Magnesium	m birth for neuroprotection No magnesium	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C
n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
n/N 123/629 101/140	n/N 149/626 90/142	M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.14 [0.97, 1.34]
n/N 123/629 101/140 769 9 (No magnesium) = 6.92, df = 1 (P = 0.01) = 0.88)	n/N 49/626 90/ 42 768 ; ² =86%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.14 [0.97, 1.34] 0.97 [0.69, 1.38]
n/N 23/629 01/140 769 9 (No magnesium) = 6.92, df = (P = 0.01) = 0.88)	n/N 149/626 90/142 768 ; 1 ² =86%	M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.14 [0.97, 1.34] 0.97 [0.69, 1.38]
	169/404 56/352 13/85 1470 2 (No magnesium) = 8.85, df = 3 (P = 0.03) = 0.64) women at risk of preten subgroup palsy Magnesium	169/404 161/401 56/352 68/336 13/85 4/80 1470 1443 2 (No magnesium) 8.85, df = 3 (P = 0.03); l ² = 66% = 0.64) 0.64	169/404 161/401 56/352 68/336 13/85 4/80 1470 1443 2 (No magnesium) 8.85, df = 3 (P = 0.03); l2 = 66% 0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium Favours Favours no magnesium Favours Favours

Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I < 34 weeks at randomisation	on				
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626	=	64.6 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Magpie 2006	172/404	165/401	+	35.4 %	1.03 [0.88, 1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027	•	100.0 %	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]
Total events: 452 (Magnesium	n), 459 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Cl	$hi^2 = 0.72, df = 1 (P = 1)$	= 0.40); I ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	ł6 (P = 0.65)				
2 < 30 weeks at randomisatio	on				
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626	-	53.8 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Magpie 2006	103/140	92/142	-	46.2 %	1.14 [0.97, 1.33]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768	•	100.0 %	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]
Total events: 383 (Magnesium	n), 386 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$; ($Chi^2 = 3.40, df = 1 (P$	$P = 0.07$); $ ^2 = 7 \%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	32 (P = 0.75)				

0.5 I Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

2 5

0.2

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/IN	n/IN	_	I*I-H,Ran	dom,95% Cl	I*I-H,Kandom,95% CI
I < 34 weeks at randomisation	ı					
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626		•		0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Magpie 2006	172/404	165/401		-	+	1.03 [0.88, 1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027		•	•	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]
Total events: 452 (Magnesium)	, 459 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi	$^{2} = 0.72$, df = 1 (P = 0.40);	2 =0.0%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.46$	6 (P = 0.65)					
			0.2	0.5	1 2 5	
		F	Favours ma	agnesium	Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Ran	dom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation						
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626				0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Magpie 2006	103/140	92/142			•	1.14 [0.97, 1.33]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768		•	•	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]
Total events: 383 (Magnesium),	386 (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Ch	$i^2 = 3.40$, df = 1 (P = 0.07)); I ² =71%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.32$	(P = 0.75)					
			0.2	0.5	1 2 5	
			Favours ma	Ignesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Gestational age subgroup, Outcome 7 Death or major neurological disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: 7 Death or major neurological disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk	Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Randor	n,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I < 34 weeks at randomisatio	on					
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	+		46.8 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Magpie 2006	171/404	165/401	•		53.2 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027	•		100.0 %	0.99 [0.88, 1.11]
Total events: 347 (Magnesium), 350 (No magnesiu	m)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Cł	$hi^2 = 0.47, df = 1 (P)$	= 0.49); l ² =0.0%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.1$	7 (P = 0.86)					
2 < 30 weeks at randomisatio	n					
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	+		47.9 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Magpie 2006	102/140	92/142	-		52.1 %	1.12 [0.96, 1.32]
Subtotal (95% CI)	769	768	+		100.0 %	1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 277 (No magnesiu	m)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$; C	$Chi^2 = 2.39, df = 1$ (F	$P = 0.12$; $ ^2 = 58\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	8 (P = 0.7I)					
			0.2 0.5	2 5		
			Favours magnesium F	avours no magnes	ium	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 2 Gestational age subgroup

Outcome: 7 Death or major neurological disability

	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% C
I < 34 weeks at randomisation				
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	•	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Magpie 2006	171/404	165/401	+	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1033	1027	•	0.99 [0.88, 1.11]
Total events: 347 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.17$	350 (No magnesium) = 0.47, df = 1 (P = 0.49); (P = 0.86)	l ² =0.0%		
			0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n
Review: Magnesium sulphate f Comparison: 2 Gestational age Outcome: 7 Death or maior r	or women at risk of preter e subgroup neurological disability	m birth for neuroprotection of	the fetus	
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/in	n/in	I-I-H,Rahdoffi,75% Ci	11-H,Randolfi,#3% Cl
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	-	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	176/629	185/626 92/142		0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI)	176/629 102/140 769	185/626 92/142 768	•	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	•	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 7 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	85/626 92/142 768); I² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiun	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiun	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	85/626 92/142 768 }; 1² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]
2 < 30 weeks at randomisation Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 278 (Magnesium), 1 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38	176/629 102/140 769 277 (No magnesium) ¹² = 2.39, df = 1 (P = 0.12) (P = 0.71)	185/626 92/142 768); 1 ² =58%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium	0.95 [0.80, 1.13] 1.12 [0.96, 1.32] 1.04 [0.86, 1.24]

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
l Single					
Crowther 2003	60/447	73/438		29.0 %	0.81 [0.59, 1.10]
Magpie 2006	186/716	179/720	=	37.1 %	1.04 [0.88, 1.25]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	+	66.0 %	0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
Total events: 246 (Magnesium	n), 252 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; ($Chi^2 = 2.00, df = 1 (P$	= 0.16); l ² =50%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	12 (P = 0.68)				
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	27/182	34/188		21.2 %	0.82 [0.52, 1.30]
Magpie 2006	23/82	9/75		12.8 %	2.34 [1.16, 4.73]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263		34.0 %	1.34 [0.48, 3.73]
Total events: 50 (Magnesium)	, 43 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.46$; ($Chi^2 = 5.95, df = 1 (P$	= 0.0 l); l ² =83%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.5$	56 (P = 0.58)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.02 [0.76, 1.38]
Total events: 296 (Magnesium	n), 295 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; (Chi ² = 8.34, df = 3 (P	= 0.04); l ² =64%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	3 (P = 0.90)				

0.2 0.5 2 5

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

I Single Crowther 2003 60 Magpie 2006 186 Subtotal (95% CI) 1 Total events: 246 (Magnesium), 252 (No magneterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 2.00, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.68) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne	Image: Non-State Image: Non-State<	v/N M-H, 73/438 79/720 1158 0.2 0. Favours magnesiu	Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl 0.81 [0.59, 1.10] 1.04 [0.88, 1.25] 0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
I Single Crowther 2003 60 Magpie 2006 186 Subtotal (95% CI) 1 Total events: 246 (Magnesium), 252 (No magnetic magn	/447 7 /716 17 163 1 esium) I (P = 0.16); I ² =50%	73/438 79/720 1158 0.2 0. Favours magnesic	15 2 5 um Favours no magnesium	0.81 [0.59, 1.10] 1.04 [0.88, 1.25] 0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
Crowther 2003 60 Magpie 2006 186 Subtotal (95% CI) 1 Total events: 246 (Magnesium), 252 (No magn Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 2.00, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.68) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne n/h	 /447 7 /716 17 163 1 esium) (P = 0.16); I² =50% isk of preterm birth for neurosubgroup ter) 	73/438 79/720 1158 0.2 0. Favours magnesic	1.5 2 5 Lim Favours no magnesium	0.81 [0.59, 1.10] 1.04 [0.88, 1.25] 0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
Magpie 2006 186 Subtotal (95% CI) 1 Total events: 246 (Magnesium), 252 (No magr Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 2.00, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.68) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Jutcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne n/h N	<pre>/716 17 163 1 esium) 1 (P = 0.16); I² =50% isk of preterm birth for neuro subgroup ter)</pre>	79/720 1158 0.2 0. Favours magnesic oprotection of the fetus	15 2 5 um Favours no magnesium	1.04 [0.88, 1.25] 0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
Subtotal (95% CI) 1 Total events: 246 (Magnesium), 252 (No magneric production of the state of	163 I esium) I (P = 0.16); I ² =50%	1158 0.2 0. Favours magnesic	.5 2 5 um Favours no magnesium	0.95 [0.74, 1.21]
Total events: 246 (Magnesium), 252 (No magr Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 2.00, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.68) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne n/h	esium) (P = 0.16); I ² =50% isk of preterm birth for neuro subgroup ter)	0.2 0. Favours magnesic	15 2 5 um Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne n/P	isk of preterm birth for neuro subgroup ter)	0.2 0. Favours magnesic oprotection of the fetus	IS 2 5 um Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne n/P	isk of preterm birth for neuro subgroup ter)	oprotection of the fetus		
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at r Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy Dutcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and la Study or subgroup Magne n/P	isk of preterm birth for neuro subgroup ter)	oprotection of the fetus		
Study or subgroup Magne n/P				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	sium No magn	nesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	N n.	n/N M-H,	,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% CI
2 Multiple				
Crowther 2003 27	/182 3	34/188		0.82 [0.52, 1.30]
Magpie 2006 2	3/82	9/75		2.34 [1.16, 4.73]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263		1.34 [0.48, 3.73]
Total events: 50 (Magnesium), 43 (No magnes Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.46; Chi ² = 5.95, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.56 (P = 0.58)	ium) (P = 0.01); ² =83%			
		0.2 0.	.5 1 2 5	
		Favours magnesiu	um Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy

Risk Ratio Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Weight n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% CI M-H,Fixed,95% Cl I Single 29/447 28/438 60.1 % Crowther 2003 1.01 [0.61, 1.68] Magpie 2006 0.40 [0.08, 2.07] 2/716 5/720 10.6 % Subtotal (95% CI) 1163 1158 70.7 % 0.92 [0.57, 1.49] Total events: 31 (Magnesium), 33 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.13$, df = 1 (P = 0.29); $I^2 = 1.1\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 0.33 (P = 0.74) 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 7/182 14/188 29.3 % 0.52 [0.21, 1.25] Magpie 2006 0/82 0/75 0.0 % Not estimable 29.3 % Subtotal (95% CI) 263 264 0.52 [0.21, 1.25] Total events: 7 (Magnesium), 14 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.46 (P = 0.14) Total (95% CI) 1427 1421 100.0 % 0.80 [0.53, 1.22] Total events: 38 (Magnesium), 47 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.48$, df = 2 (P = 0.29); $I^2 = I9\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 1.03 (P = 0.30)

0.1

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

10

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Ris	k Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed	1,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Single					
Crowther 2003	29/447	28/438	+		1.01 [0.61, 1.68]
Magpie 2006	2/716	5/720		-	0.40 [0.08, 2.07]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 31 (Magnesium), 32 Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.13, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.33 (1163 3 (No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.29); I ² = 1 1% (P = 0.74)	1158	•		0.92 [0.57, 1.49]
			0.1	10	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate fo Comparison: 3 Single or multip Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy	or women at risk of preterm ble pregnancy subgroup	birth for neuroprotecti	ion of the fetus		
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risi M-H,Fixed	k Ratio 1,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	7/182	4/ 88			0.52 [0.21, 1.25]
Magpie 2006	0/82	0/75			Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263	-		0.52 [0.21, 1.25]
Total events: 7 (Magnesium), 14 Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.46 ((No magnesium) (P = 0.14)				
			0.1	10	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	
Magnesium sulphate for won	nen at risk of preterm b	irth for neuroprotec	tion of the fetus (Review)	75

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup

Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Single					
Crowther 2003	149/447	136/438	•	68.3 %	1.07 [0.89, 1.30]
Magpie 2006	10/716	11/720	+	5.5 %	0.91 [0.39, 2.14]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	•	73.8 %	1.06 [0.88, 1.28]
Total events: 159 (Magnesiu	m), 147 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.13,	df = $ (P = 0.72); ^2 =$	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.63 (P = 0.53)				
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	44/182	51/188	+	24.9 %	0.89 [0.63, 1.26]
Magpie 2006	0/82	2/75		1.3 %	0.18 [0.01, 3.75]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263	•	26.2 %	0.86 [0.61, 1.21]
Total events: 44 (Magnesium	n), 53 (No magnesium))			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.05,	df = 1 (P = 0.30); I^2 =	=5%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.88 (P = 0.38)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	•	100.0 %	1.01 [0.85, 1.19]
Total events: 203 (Magnesiu	m), 200 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.17,	df = 3 (P = 0.54); I ² =	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.09 (P = 0.93)				
	•				

0.01 0.1 10 100

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
l Single				
Crowther 2003	149/447	136/438	-	1.07 [0.89, 1.30]
Magpie 2006	10/716	11/720	+	0.91 [0.39, 2.14]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 159 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.13$, df : Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.63$	1163 147 (No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.72); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.53)	1158		1.06 [0.88, 1.28]
			0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate f Comparison: 3 Single or multi Outcome: 3 Neurologic impai	for women at risk of pretern ple pregnancy subgroup irment	h birth for neuroprotection	of the fetus	
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
2 Multiple				
Crowther 2003	44/182	51/188	•	0.89 [0.63, 1.26]
Magpie 2006	0/82	2/75		0.18 [0.01, 3.75]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 44 (Magnesium), 5 Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.05, df : Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88	264 3 (No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.30); I ² =5% (P = 0.38)	263	• 	0.86 [0.61, 1.21]
			0.01 0.1 10 100	
			0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup

Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Single					
Crowther 2003	71/447	56/438	-	61.6 %	1.24 [0.90, 1.72]
Magpie 2006	9/716	11/720	+	12.0 %	0.82 [0.34, 1.97]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	•	73.6 %	1.17 [0.87, 1.59]
Total events: 80 (Magnesium)), 67 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.75$,	df = (P = 0.39); ² =	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	04 (P = 0.30)				
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	18/182	22/188	+	23.6 %	0.85 [0.47, 1.52]
Magpie 2006	0/82	2/75		2.8 %	0.18 [0.01, 3.75]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263	•	26.4 %	0.77 [0.44, 1.37]
Total events: 18 (Magnesium)), 24 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.96$,	df = (P = 0.33); ² =	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	88 (P = 0.38)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]
Total events: 98 (Magnesium)), 91 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 3.09$,	df = 3 (P = 0.38); $I^2 =$	-3%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	49 (P = 0.63)				

0.01 0.1 10 100

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Single				
Crowther 2003	71/447	56/438	-	1.24 [0.90, 1.72]
Magpie 2006	9/716	1/720	-	0.82 [0.34, 1.97]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	•	1.17 [0.87, 1.59]
Total events: 80 (Magnesium), 6 Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.75$, df = Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.04$	7 (No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.39); 1 ² =0.0% (P = 0.30)			
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	m
Review: Magnesium sulphate f Comparison: 3 Single or multi Outcome: 4 Major neurologic	or women at risk of preterm ple pregnancy subgroup disability	n birth for neuroprotectior	n of the fetus	
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
2 Multiple				
Crowther 2003	18/182	22/188	†	0.85 [0.47, 1.52]
Magpie 2006	0/82	2/75		0.18 [0.01, 3.75]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 18 (Magnesium), 2 Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.96$, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88	264 4 (No magnesium) = 1 (P = 0.33); I ² =0.0% (P = 0.38)	263	•	0.77 [0.44, 1.37]
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	JM
Magnesium sulphate for wor	nen at risk of preterm b	irth for neuroprotection	on of the fetus (Review)	79

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup

Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

.

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
l Single					
Crowther 2003	89/447	101/438	-	30.7 %	0.86 [0.67, 1.11]
Magpie 2006	188/716	184/720	+	37.0 %	1.03 [0.86, 1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	•	67.7 %	0.97 [0.82, 1.14]
Total events: 277 (Magnesiun	n), 285 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00;$	$Chi^2 = 1.23, df = 1$ (F	$P = 0.27$; $ ^2 = 9\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	42 (P = 0.67)				
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	34/182	48/188		21.3 %	0.73 [0.50, 1.08]
Magpie 2006	23/82	11/75		11.0 %	1.91 [1.00, 3.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263	-	32.3 %	1.14 [0.45, 2.92]
Total events: 57 (Magnesium)), 59 (No magnesium))			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.39;	$Chi^2 = 6.24, df = 1$ (F	P = 0.01); I ² =84%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	28 (P = 0.78)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	0.97 [0.76, 1.24]
Total events: 334 (Magnesiun	n), 344 (No magnesiu	ım)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.03$;	$Chi^2 = 7.48, df = 3$ (F	$P = 0.06$; $ ^2 = 60\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	24 (P = 0.81)				

0.2 0.5 2 5

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

I Single Review: 2003 89/447 Magpie 2006 188/716 Subtotal (95% CI) 1163 Total events: 277 (Magnesium), 285 (No magnesium Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.23, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.67) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	147 716 63 sium) (P = 0.27); I ² = 19: k of preterm birth Jbgroup um N 182 /82 64	n/N 101/438 184/720 1158 % for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	M-H,Random,95% C 0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus	Cl M-H,Random,95 0.86 [0.67, 1. 1.03 [0.86, 1. 0.97 [0.82, 1.1
I Single Crowther 2003 89/447 Magpie 2006 I88/716 Subtotal (95% CI) 1163 Total events: 277 (Magnesium), 285 (No magnesium Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.23, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.67) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	147 716 63 sium) (P = 0.27); I ² = 19 (P = 0.27); I ² = 19 um 182 182 182 182 182 182	101/438 184/720 1158 % for neuroprotection	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus Risk Ratio	0.86 [0.67, 1. 1.03 [0.86, 1. 0.97 [0.82, 1.1 5
Growther 2003 89/447 Magpie 2006 188/716 Subtotal (95% CI) 1163 Total events: 277 (Magnesium), 285 (No magnesium Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.23, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.67) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	147 716 63 sium) (P = 0.27); I ² = 19; k of preterm birth µbgroup um № 182 /82 64	101/438 184/720 1158 % for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus Risk Ratio	0.86 [0.67, 1. 1.03 [0.86, 1. 0.97 [0.82, 1.1 5 10 magnesium
Magpie 2006 188/716 Subtotal (95% CI) 1163 Total events: 277 (Magnesium), 285 (No magnesium Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.23, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.67) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	716 63 sium) (P = 0.27); I ² = 19; k of preterm birth ubgroup um 182 /82 64	184/720 1158 % for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus Risk Ratio	1.03 [0.86, 1. 0.97 [0.82, 1.1 o magnesium
Subtotal (95% CI) 1163 Total events: 277 (Magnesium), 285 (No magnesium Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.23, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.67) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	63 sium) (P = 0.27); I ² = 19! k of preterm birth µbgroup um № 182 /82 64	1158 % for neuroprotection No magnesium N	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus Risk Ratio	0.97 [0.82, 1.1
Total events: 277 (Magnesium), 285 (No magnesium Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.23, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.42 (P = 0.67) Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	sium) (P = 0.27); I ² = 19: k of preterm birth Jbgroup um N 182 /82 64	% for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus Risk Ratio	5 no magnesium
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	k of preterm birth Ibgroup um 1 182 /82 64	for neuroprotectior No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no of the fetus Risk Ratio	5 no magnesium
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	k of preterm birth Jbgroup um M 182 /82 64	for neuroprotectior No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgro Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	k of preterm birth Jbgroup um M 182 /82 64	for neuroprotectior No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N 2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 23/82	um 1 182 /82 64	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio	
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	182 182 64		M-H,Random,95% C	Risk Rat Cl M-H,Random,95
Crowther 2003 34/182 Magpie 2006 23/82	82 /82 64			
Magpie 2006 23/82	^{/82}	48/188		0.73 [0.50, 1
	64	11/75		1.91 [1.00, 3.
Subtotal (95% CI) 264 Total events: 57 (Magnesium), 59 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.39; Chi ² = 6.24, df = 1 (P = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.28 (P = 0.78)	m) (P = 0.01); l ² =84;	263 %		1.14 [0.45, 2.9
			0.2 0.5 2 5	5
			Favours magnesium Favours no	no magnesium

Copyright $\textcircled{\sc 0}$ 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 3.6. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
l Single					
Crowther 2003	209/447	209/438	-	38.6 %	0.98 [0.85, 1.13]
Magpie 2006	196/716	190/720	+	33.1 %	1.04 [0.87, 1.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	+	71.7 %	1.00 [0.90, 1.12]
Total events: 405 (Magnesiur	n), 399 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; C	$Chi^2 = 0.26, df = 1 (P)$	= 0.6 l); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	04 (P = 0.96)				
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	71/182	85/188	-	23.3 %	0.86 [0.68, 1.10]
Magpie 2006	23/82	11/75		4.9 %	1.91 [1.00, 3.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263		28.3 %	1.21 [0.56, 2.65]
Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 (No magnesium)	1			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.26$;	$Chi^2 = 5.24, df = 1$ (F	$P = 0.02$; $ ^2 = 8 \%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	48 (P = 0.63)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.00 [0.86, 1.16]
Total events: 499 (Magnesiur	n), 495 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$;	Chi ² = 5.60, df = 3 (F	$P = 0.13$; $I^2 = 46\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	02 (P = 0.98)				

0.2 0.5 2 5

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

		i io magnesium	NISK NALIO	INSK INdUO
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% CI	M-H,Random,95% (
l Single				
Crowther 2003	209/447	209/438	-	0.98 [0.85, 1.13
Magpie 2006	196/716	190/720	—	1.04 [0.87, 1.23
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	•	
Fotal events: 405 (Magnesium).	399 (No magnesium)	1190		1.00 [0.90, 1.12
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; Chi ²	= 0.26, df $= 1$ (P $= 0.61$);	$ ^2 = 0.0\%$		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.04$ ((P = 0.96)			
			0.2 0.5 2 5	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate fr	or women at rick of preter	m hirth for neuroprotection of	of the feture	
Comparison: 3 Single or multir	ble pregnancy subgroup			
Outcome: 6 Death or neurolo	gical impairment			
	0			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	0			
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (
2 Multiple	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% CI	M-H,Random,95% (
2 Multiple Crowther 2003	n/N 71/182	n/N 85/188	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	n/N 71/182 23/82	n/N 85/188 11/75	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI)	n/N 71/182 23/82 264	n/N 85/188 11/75 263	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96	n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 94 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi	n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) $2^{2} = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) $^{2} = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65]
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 90 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); I ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% 0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) $^2 = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% 0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) $2^{2} = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% 0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) $2^2 = 5.24, \text{ df} = 1 (P = 0.02)$ (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% 0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) $^{2} = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% 0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 94 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% 0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 90 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi ⁷ Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); I ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 90 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 5 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) $2^{2} = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); I ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) $a^2 = 5.24$, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65
2 Multiple Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 94 (Magnesium), 96 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.26; Chi Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (n/N 71/182 23/82 264 6 (No magnesium) ² = 5.24, df = 1 (P = 0.02) (P = 0.63)	n/N 85/188 11/75 263); 1 ² =81%	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% (0.86 [0.68, 1.10 1.91 [1.00, 3.65 1.21 [0.56, 2.65

Analysis 3.7. Comparison 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup, Outcome 7 Death or major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup Outcome: 7 Death or major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
l Single					
Crowther 2003	131/447	129/438	+	34.3 %	1.00 [0.81, 1.22]
Magpie 2006	195/716	190/720	+	39.7 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1163	1158	•	74.0 %	1.02 [0.89, 1.16]
Total events: 326 (Magnesiur	n), 319 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.0$; C	$Chi^2 = 0.07, df = 1 (P)$	= 0.79); l ² =0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	25 (P = 0.80)				
2 Multiple					
Crowther 2003	45/182	56/188		19.3 %	0.83 [0.59, 1.16]
Magpie 2006	23/82	11/75		6.7 %	1.91 [1.00, 3.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	264	263	-	26.0 %	1.20 [0.53, 2.71]
Total events: 68 (Magnesium), 67 (No magnesium)	1			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.28$;	$Chi^2 = 5.07, df = 1$ (F	$P = 0.02$; $ ^2 = 80\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	44 (P = 0.66)				
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.02 [0.85, 1.22]
Total events: 394 (Magnesiur	n), 386 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.01$;	Chi ² = 5.15, df = 3 (F	$P = 0.16$; $ ^2 = 42\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	20 (P = 0.84)				

0.2 0.5 2 5

Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

 Review:
 Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

 Comparison:
 3 Single or multiple pregnancy subgroup

 Outcome:
 7 Death or major neurologic disability

n/N 131/447 195/716 1163 magnesium) T = 1 (P = 0.79); f ² = 1 (P = 0.79); f ² at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n/N 129/438 190/720 1158 2 =0.0% n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi	M-H,Random,95% C 1.00 [0.81, 1.22] 1.03 [0.87, 1.22] 1.02 [0.89, 1.16] um
131/447 195/716 1163 nagnesium) = I (P = 0.79); F = I (P = 0.79); F at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	129/438 190/720 1158 ² =0.0% n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus	1.00 [0.81, 1.22] 1.03 [0.87, 1.22] 1.02 [0.89, 1.16]
13 /447 195/716 1163 hagnesium) T = 1 (P = 0.79); H at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	129/438 190/720 1158 ² =0.0% n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	1.00 [0.81, 1.22] 1.03 [0.87, 1.22] 1.02 [0.89, 1.16]
195/716 1163 nagnesium) T = 1 (P = 0.79); H at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	190/720 1158 ² =0.0% h birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	1.03 [0.87, 1.22] 1.02 [0.89, 1.16]
1163 nagnesium) = I (P = 0.79); F at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	1158 2 =0.0% n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	1.02 [0.89, 1.16]
nagnesium) = I (P = 0.79); I at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	² =0.0% n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	um
at risk of preterm ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	um
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	um
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi of the fetus Risk Ratio	um
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi	um
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
at risk of pretem ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
at risk of pretern ncy subgroup disability agnesium n/N 45/182	n birth for neuroprotection No magnesium n/N	of the fetus Risk Ratio	
disability agnesium n/N 45/182	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio	
disability agnesium n/N 45/182	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio	
agnesium n/N 45/182	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio	
n/N 45/182	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio	
n/N 45/182	n/N		Risk Ratio
45/182		M-H,Random,95% CI	M-H,Random,95% C
45/182			
	56/188		0.83 [0.59, 1.16
23/82	11/75		1.91 [1.00, 3.65
264	263	-	1.20 [0.53, 2.71]
gnesium)			
f = 1 (P = 0.02);	2 =80%		
		02 05 2 5	
		Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi	um
		0	
gn df	264 nesium) = I (P = 0.02);	264 263 mesium) H = 1 (P = 0.02); 1 ² =80%	264 263 lesium) = (P = 0.02); ² =80% 0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi

Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
I Loading dose only (4 g)					
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	+	22.0 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Mittendorf 2002	2/30	1/29		1.3 %	1.93 [0.19, 20.18]
Subtotal (95% CI)	382	365	•	23.3 %	0.88 [0.57, 1.35]
Total events: 36 (Magnesium)	, 39 (No magnesiun	ı)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Cl	$hi^2 = 0.45, df = 1$ (F	$P = 0.50$; $I^2 = 0.0\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.5$	59 (P = 0.55)				
2 Loading (4 g) and lower-do:	se maintenance (1 g	/hour)			
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	•	34.3 %	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Magpie 2006	209/798	188/795	•	41.5 %	. [0.93, .3]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	75.8 %	0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Total events: 296 (Magnesium	n), 295 (No magnesi	um)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.04$; ($Chi^2 = 3.91, df = 1$	$P = 0.05$; $I^2 = 74\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	25 (P = 0.80)				
3 Loading (4 g) and higher-do	ose maintenance (2-3	3 g/hour): tocolytic intent			
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	0/51		0.9 %	5.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	55	51		0.9 %	15.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium),	0 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.9$	91 (P = 0.056)				
Total (95% CI)	1864	1837	•	100.0 %	0.97 [0.74, 1.28]
Total events: 340 (Magnesium	n), 334 (No magnesi	um)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.04$; ($Chi^2 = 8.39, df = 4$	$(P = 0.08); I^2 = 52\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$	22 (P = 0.83)				

0.01 0.1 1 10 100

Favours magnesium

Favours no magnesium

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% C
I Loading dose only (4 g)				
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	+	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Mittendorf 2002	2/30	1/29	·	1.93 [0.19, 20.18]
Subtotal (95% CI)	382	365	•	0.88 [0.57, 1.35]
Total events: 36 (Magnesium), 3 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 0.59	 (No magnesium) = 0.45, df = 1 (P = 0.50); (P = 0.55) 	l ² =0.0%		
			0.01 0.1 10 100	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magne	esium
Review: Magnesium sulphate f	for women at risk of preter	m birth for neuroprotection (of the fetus	
Outcome: I Paediatric morta	lity (fetal and later)			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629	No magnesium n/N 107/626	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	Magnesium n/N maintenance (I g/hour) 87/629 209/798	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI)	Magnesium n/N maintenance (I g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N * maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); 1 ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); 1 ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) 1 ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); 1 ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N e maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) 1 ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N e maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) 1 ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N e maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) ii ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H.Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 296 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25	Magnesium n/N e maintenance (1 g/hour) 87/629 209/798 1427 295 (No magnesium) i ² = 3.91, df = 1 (P = 0.05) (P = 0.80)	No magnesium n/N 107/626 188/795 1421); I ² =74%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.81 [0.62, 1.05] 1.11 [0.93, 1.31] 0.96 [0.71, 1.31]

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Ran	dom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
3 Loading (4 g) and higher-do	se maintenance (2-3 g/hou	r): tocolytic intent				
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	0/51				5.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Subtotal (95% CI)	55	51				15.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium), 0) (No magnesium)					
Heterogeneity: not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.9	I (P = 0.056)					
			0.01	0.1	1 10 100	
			Favours ma	agnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 4 Dose subgr	oup				
Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy					
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I Loading dose only (4 g)					
Marret 2006	22/352	30/336	=	37.5 %	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]
Mittendorf 2002	3/30	0/29	+	0.6 %	6.77 [0.37, 125.65]
Subtotal (95% CI)	382	365	•	38.1 %	0.80 [0.48, 1.33]
Total events: 25 (Magnesium)	, 30 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.30$, o	$df = (P = 0. 3); ^2 =$	=56%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	87 (P = 0.39)				
2 Loading (4 g) and lower-do	se maintenance (1 g/ł	nour)			
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626	-	51.4 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]
Magpie 2006	2/798	5/795		6.1 %	0.40 [0.08, 2.05]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	57.5 %	0.80 [0.53, 1.22]
Total events: 38 (Magnesium)	, 47 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.78$, o	$df = (P = 0.38); ^2 =$	=0.0%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.0$	D3 (P = 0.31)				
3 Loading (4 g) and higher-do	ose maintenance (2-3	g/hour): tocolytic			
Mittendorf 2002	0/55	3/5		4.4 %	0.13 [0.01, 2.51]
			0.01 0.1 10 100		
			Favours magnesium Favours no	o magnesium	(Continued)

(... Continued)

InN InN InH (Jacq398 C) Multipleq398 C) Multipleq398 C) Total cents 0 (Magnesium) 3 (Mo magnesium) Heterogenoity, not applicable Total cents 0 (Magnesium) 3 (Mo magnesium) 4.4 % 0.13 [0.01, 2.5 Total cents 0 (Magnesium) 8 (Mo magnesium) 1864 1837 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 Total cents 3 (Magnessium) 8 (Mo magnessium) 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 Total cents 3 (Magnessium) 8 (Mo magnessium) 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 Cold cents 3 (Magnessium) 8 (Mo magnessium) Facura magnesium Facura negnesium Facura negnesium Facura negnesium Review: Magnesium subplate for women at risk of preterm birth for newroprotection of the fetus Comparison Risk Ratio	Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
Subtrail (95% CI) 55 51 4.4 % 0.13 [0.01, 2.5] Table vers: 0 (Napesium) 8 (M magnetum) Heteragenetic, 10 (M magnetum) Heteragenetic, 10 (M magnetum) 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0] Table (95% CI) 1864 1837 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0] Table (95% CI) 1864 1837 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0] Table vers: 0 (Napesium, 30 (No magnetum) Heteragenetic, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°, 0°		n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Total events 0 (Plagnesum), 2 (No magnesum) Heterogenein, row polkable Texil (95% CI) 1864 Total (95% CI) 1864 Total (95% CI) 100.0 % Option events 3 (Plagnesum), 80 (No magnesum) Heterogenein, Ch ² = 4.9, d = 4 (P = 0.25); P = 10%. Text (95% CI) 0.0 n = 1 Not in all 10 0.0 % Review. Magnesium sulphate for vomen at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Contract: 2 (Plagnesum), 2 (No magnesum) Review. Magnesium sulphate for vomen at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Contract: 2 (Plagnesum), 30 (No magnesum) Numer 2006 22/352 Study or subgroup Magnesium Numer 2005 22/352 Subtotal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 0.39; P = 56%. Text or overall effect; Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39):	Subtotal (95% CI)	55	51		4.4 %	0.13 [0.01, 2.51]
The or evaluation is a particular Trade (25% CI) 1864 1837 Table (25% CI) 1864 1837 Table metrics (20% preserving) (0 (0 magneturin) Heteragenetic, Ch ² = 4.(P = 0.35); P = 106 Text for overall effect; Z = 1.59 (P = 0.11) 001 0.1 10 100 Facous magneturin Review: Magnetium subplate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Outcome: 2 Certifiel paly Study or subgroup Magneturin NN nN NHHFined,95% CI MHHFined,95% CI MHHFined	Total events: 0 (Magnesium), 1	3 (No magnesium)				
Hear Model and Ref. 2, 2 = 1.01 () 184 1837 Total estrict: 63 (fliggreistum) 80 (No magnesum) 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 Hearogeneity: Chi = 417, if = 4 (P = 0.33); P = 10% 100.0 % 0.77 [0.56, 1.0 Review: Magnesium subhate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Fecurity routing review Review: Magnesium subhate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio Contorn: 2 Cerebral pally 2032 3036 0.77 [0.37, 125 Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium MetAFieed935% CI MetAFieed935% CI I Leading doise only (4 g) Marre 2006 22352 30336 0.70 [0.41, 1 Mittendord 2002 3/30 0/29 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Total exertis: 25 (Magnesium) Si (No magnesium) Febores magnesium Febores magnesium Febores magnesium Review: 2.01 0.1 10 100 10 100 Febores magnesium Febores magnesium	Heterogeneity: not applicable	P = (P - O Q)				
Total verti: 3 (Magnetium), 80 (No magnetium) Total verti: 3 (Magnetium), 80 (No magnetium) Heterogenely: Ch ² = 4.7, df = 4 (P = 0.35); P = 106; Text for overall effect: Z = 1.59 (P = 0.1) 001 0.1 001 0.1 001 0.1 Facous magnetium Review: Magnetium subplate for vomen at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnetium No magnetium No magnetium Nerver: Magnetium, No magnetium Mittendor?2002 3/30 Mittendor?2002 3/30 O/29 6/37 (0.37, 125 Subtotal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 (0.48, 1.3) Text for overall effect Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 001 0.1 10 100 Facous magnetium Facous reagnetium Fa	Total (95% CI)	1864	1837	•	100.0 %	0.77 [0.56, 1.06]
Heterogenety: Ch ² = 4.47, df = 4 (P = 0.33); P = 0.113 001 01 10 <	Total events: 63 (Magnesium)	, 80 (No magnesium)	1007		10010 /0	0.77 [0.90, 1.00]
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.59 (P = 0.11) 0.01 0.1 10 10 Review: Magnesium subplate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outrom: 2 Corebral palay Magnesium No magnesium Rek Ratio Risk Ratio Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium M-H-Fixed/958 CI M-H-Fixed/958 CI Laading dose only (4 g) m/N n/N M-H-Fixed/958 CI M-H-Fixed/958 CI Maret 2006 22/352 30/326 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125 Subtract (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Heterogeneity: Children 23.0, df = 1 (P = 0.13); F = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) Facus magnesium	Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 4.47$, c	$f = 4 (P = 0.35); I^2 = IC$	%			
001 0.1 10 100 Facus nagresium Facus nagresium Review: Magresium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Outrome: 2 Corebral palsy Study or subgroup Magresium No magresium NN n/N n/N MH/Fixed/95% CI 1 Leading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0/29 Subtratil (95% CI) 382 Solutotal (95% CI) 382 Total event: 25 (Magresium) Netterrogeneity: Charlen 13) IP =56% Text for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0	Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.5$	59 (P = 0.11)				
001 0.1 10 10 Facurs rogresum Facurs rogresum Facurs rogresum Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium N nN Marret 2006 22/352 3030 0/29 Subtocal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Total wents: 2 (Magnesium) Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 0.87 (P = 0.39) Out 0.1 10 Out 0.1 10 Facurs rogresum Facurs ro magnesum						
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio No MH-Fixed.95% CI Hubble 2006 22/352 3030 0/29 Subtotal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Hagnesium) Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87; (P = 0.39)			0.01	0.1 1 10 100		
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio MH no MN MH Fixed 95% CI MH-Hicked 95% 1 Loading dose only (4 g) Maret 2006 22/352 30/336 070 [0.41, 1] Mittended 2002 3/30 0/29 Subtoral (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Magnesium) Heterogenety: Ch ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56%. Text for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Facus magnesium Facus no magnesium			Favours	magnesium Favours no m	nagnesium	
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral patsy Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio NM NM MH-Frieed55% CI M-HE/seed55% 1 Loading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0729 Stubtood (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Magnesium). 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Chi = 2.03, ef = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56%. Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0 01 0.1 10 100 Faccurs magnesium						
Review: Magnesium subplate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 2 Cerebral palsy Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio NN NN MH-Hised95% CI MH-Hised95% CI MH-Hised95% CI CO (0.41, 1, 1) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 070 [0.41, 1] Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 070 [0.41, 1] Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125 Subtocal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Total events: 25 (flagresium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogenetry (Dr = 2.30, df = 10 = 0.13); P = 56% Test for overall effect Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) Qui 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium						
Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Does subgroup Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio NM NM MH-HExed.95% CI MH-HExed.95% 1 Loading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 Subtocal (95% CI) 382 365 Total events 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Evelurs magnesium						
Review. Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio NN NN MH-Fixed 95% CI MH-Fixed 95% 1 Loading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0.70 [0.41, 1: Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125 Subtotal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogenety: Chi ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium						
Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy: Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium n/N n/N MH-Eixed.95% CI MH-Fixed.95% 1 Loading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0729 6.77 [0.37, 125. Subtoral (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours magnesium	Review: Magnesium sulphat	e for women at risk of p	reterm birth for neuroproted	tion of the fetus		
Outcome: 2 Cerebral paly Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio I Loading dose only (4 g) MH-Fixed.95% CI MH-Fixed.95% 0.70 [0.41, I. Maret 2006 22/352 30/336 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 1.25 Subtocal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) 0.10 (0.1 10.00) Heterogeneity: Chi? (P = 0.13): IP = 56% 7eacurs magnesium	Comparison: 4 Dose subgro	oup				
Study or subgroup Magnesium n/N No magnesium n/N Risk Ratio Risk Ratio Risk Ratio 1 Loading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0.70 [0.41, 1] Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125 Subtorat [05% CI) 382 365 Total events: 25 (Magnesium). 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56%. 0.01 0.1 10 100 Faxours magnesium Faxours magnesium Faxours no magnesium Faxours no magnesium	Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy					
Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Heis Katio Kisk Ratio n/N n/N n/N M-HEixed.95% CI M-HEixed.95% CI <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
Intro Intro <th< td=""><td>Study or subgroup</td><td>Magnesium</td><td>No magnesium</td><td>MIII</td><td>Kisk Ratio</td><td>Risk Ratio</td></th<>	Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	MIII	Kisk Ratio	Risk Ratio
I Loading dose only (4 g) Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0.70 [0.41, 1. Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125. Subtocal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Magnesium) Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium		n/IN	n/in	I*I-H,F	-ixed,95% CI	I*I-H,FIXed,95% CI
Marret 2006 22/352 30/336 0.70 [0.41, 1. Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125. Sabtotal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% 0.01 0.1 10 100 Fast for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39)	I Loading dose only (4 g)					
Mittendorf 2002 3/30 0/29 6.77 [0.37, 125, 125, 125] Subtoral (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); P = 56% 0.80 [0.48, 1.3] Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 001 0.1 10 10 Favours magnesium	Marret 2006	22/352	30/336		-	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]
Subtotal (95% CI) 382 365 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); l ² = 56%. 0.80 [0.48, 1.3 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 10 100 Favours magnesium	Mittendorf 2002	3/30	0/29			6.77 [0.37, 125.65]
Total events: 25 (Magnesium), 30 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); I ² =56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours magnesium	Subtotal (95% CI)	382	365		•	0.80 [0.48, 1.33]
Heterogeneity: Ch ² = 2.30, df = 1 (P = 0.13); l ² = 56% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	Total events: 25 (Magnesium)	, 30 (No magnesium)				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.87 (P = 0.39) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.30$, c	$f = (P = 0.13); ^2 = 56$	%			
0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium	Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.8$	37 (P = 0.39)				
0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours magnesium						
Eavours magnesium Eavours no magnesium				0.01 0.1	10 100	
				Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Copyright © 2008 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Risk Ratio n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% Cl M-H,Fixed,95% Cl 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-dose maintenance (1 g/hour) Crowther 2003 36/629 42/626 0.85 [0.55, 1.31] Magpie 2006 2/798 5/795 0.40 [0.08, 2.05] Subtotal (95% CI) 0.80 [0.53, 1.22] 1427 1421 Total events: 38 (Magnesium), 47 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.78$, df = 1 (P = 0.38); $l^2 = 0.0\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 1.03 (P = 0.31) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy Risk Ratio Risk Ratio Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium M-H,Fixed,95% CI M-H,Fixed,95% CI n/N n/N 3 Loading (4 g) and higher-dose maintenance (2-3 g/hour): tocolytic Mittendorf 2002 0/55 3/51 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] Subtotal (95% CI) 55 51 0.13 [0.01, 2.51] Total events: 0 (Magnesium), 3 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.35 (P = 0.18) 0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I Loading (4 g) and lowe	r-maintenance dose (1 g	g/hour)			
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626	=	93.5 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Magpie 2006	10/798	13/795		6.5 %	0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	+	100.0 %	1.01 [0.86, 1.19]
Total events: 203 (Magnet Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0$. Test for overall effect: Z =	sium), 200 (No magnesi 48, df = 1 (P = 0.49); l ² = 0.12 (P = 0.90)	ium) =0.0%			
		Favo	urs magnesium Favours no magn	nesium	
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment	of preterm birth for neurop	rotection of the fetus		
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N	rotection of the fetus um M-H	Risk Ratio 1,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe	phate for women at risk ıbgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour)	rotection of the fetus um M-H	Risk Ratio 1,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629	of preterm birth for neurop No magnes n/N g/hour)	rotection of the fetus um 526	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% (1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk ubgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/6 13/.	rotection of the fetus um 526 795 —	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk ubgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnes n/N g/hour) 187/d 13/.	rotection of the fetus um 526 795 —	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk ubgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/4 13/3	rotection of the fetus um 526 795 — 0.2 0.5	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I .03 [0.87, I .21] 0.77 [0.34, I .74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk ubgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnes n/N g/hour) 187/d 137.	rotection of the fetus um M-H 526 795 — 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/4 13/3	rotection of the fetus um M-H 526 795 — 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% (1.03 [0.87, 1.21] 0.77 [0.34, 1.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/ 13/.	rotection of the fetus um M-H 526 795 — 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I .03 [0.87, I .21] 0.77 [0.34, I .74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk ubgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/d 13/.	rotection of the fetus um M-H 526 795 — 1 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N (hour) 187/ 13/	rotection of the fetus um M-H 526 795 - 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/4 137.	rotection of the fetus um M-H 526 795 — 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]
Review: Magnesium sul Comparison: 4 Dose su Outcome: 3 Neurologi Study or subgroup I Loading (4 g) and lowe Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	phate for women at risk Ibgroup c impairment Magnesium n/N r-maintenance dose (1 g 193/629 10/798	of preterm birth for neurop No magnesi n/N g/hour) 187/ 13/:	rotection of the fetus um 526 795 — 0.2 0.5 Favours magnesiur	Risk Ratio I,Fixed,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% C I.03 [0.87, I.21] 0.77 [0.34, I.74]

Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		F	Risk Rati	io	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi>	ked,95%	Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Loading (4 g) and lowe	r-maintenance dose (I	g/hour)						
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626		+			85.7 %	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
Magpie 2006	9/798	13/795			_		14.3 %	0.69 [0.30, 1.60]
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421		-	•		100.0 %	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]
Total events: 98 (Magnesi	um), 91 (No magnesiu	m)						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.2	21, df = 1 (P = 0.27); I	2 =17%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.5 (P = 0.6)							
				i				
			0.2	0.5	12	5		
			Favours ma	agnesium	Favou	rs no magnesii	ım	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Loading (4 g) and lower-	maintenance dose (1 g/hour)			
Crowther 2003	89/629	78/626	→	1.14 [0.86, 1.51]
Magpie 2006	9/798	13/795		0.69 [0.30, 1.60]
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesiu	n

Analysis 4.5. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Random,95% Cl		M-H,Random,95% Cl
I Loading dose (4 g) only					
Marret 2006	56/352	68/336	-	25.5 %	0.79 [0.57, 1.08]
Mittendorf 2002	5/30	1/29		1.4 %	4.83 [0.60, 38.90]
Subtotal (95% CI)	382	365		27.0 %	1.44 [0.27, 7.81]
Total events: 61 (Magnesium)	, 69 (No magnesium))			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.09$; ($Chi^2 = 2.88, df = 1 (F$	$P = 0.09$; $I^2 = 65\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.4$	13 (P = 0.67)				
2 Loading (4 g) and lower-ma	intenance dose (1 g/ł	hour)			
Crowther 2003	123/629	149/626		33.2 %	0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
Magpie 2006	211/798	193/795	•	36.2 %	1.09 [0.92, 1.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1427	1421	•	69.4 %	0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Total events: 334 (Magnesium	n), 342 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; ($Chi^2 = 4.17, df = 1 (F$	$P = 0.04$); $I^2 = 76\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	34 (P = 0.73)				
3 Loading (4 g) and higher-ma	aintenance dose (2-3	g/hour): tocolytic intent			
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	3/51		3.7 %	2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
Subtotal (95% CI)	55	51	-	3.7 %	2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium),	3 (No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable	:				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.4$	10 (P = 0.16)				
Total (95% CI)	1864	1837	•	100.0 %	0.96 [0.75, 1.24]
Total events: 403 (Magnesium	n), 414 (No magnesiu	m)			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.04$; (Chi ² = 10.16, df = 4 ($(P = 0.04); I^2 = 61\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.3$	31 (P = 0.76)				
			0.1 10		
		Favours n	nagnesium Favours no magr	nesium	
			- 0		

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

	n Agriesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	17/1 1	1011		r Fri, Kandolfi, 2076 C
I Loading dose (4 g) only Marret 2006	56/352	68/336	-	0.79 [0.57, 1.08]
Mittendorf 2002	5/30	1/29		4.83 [0.60, 38.90]
Subtotal (95% CI)	382	365		1.44 [0.27, 7.81]
Total events: 61 (Magnesium), 6	59 (No magnesium)	505		1.11[0.2/, , /.01]
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.09$; Ch	$ni^2 = 2.88$, df = 1 (P = 0.09)); I ² =65%		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.43$	(P = 0.67)			
			01 10	
			Favours magnesium Favours no m	agnesium
Review: Magnesium sulphate	for women at risk of preter	m birth for neuroprotecti	on of the fetus	
Comparison: 4 Dose subgrou	qı			
Outcome: 5 Death or cerebr	al palsy			
Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI
Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour)	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629	No magnesium n/N 149/626	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl 0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006	Magnesium n/N Itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI)	Magnesium n/N Itenance dose (I g/hour) I 23/629 21 I/798 1 427	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium),	Magnesium n/N 1tenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Ch	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) ji ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421 1; 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) 1 ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421 1; 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421 1; 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Fotal events: 334 (Magnesium), -leterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Ch Fest for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); I ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CH Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) 11 ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) · (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 342 (No magnesium) hi ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Cr Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) 1i ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) · (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N itenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Cr Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N ntenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) · (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); I ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio <u>M-H,Random,95% C</u> 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N ntenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) ni² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) · (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N ntenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) · (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% C 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]
Study or subgroup 2 Loading (4 g) and lower-main Crowther 2003 Magpie 2006 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 334 (Magnesium), Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; CF Test for overall effect: Z = 0.34	Magnesium n/N ntenance dose (1 g/hour) 123/629 211/798 1427 , 342 (No magnesium) ni ² = 4.17, df = 1 (P = 0.04) · (P = 0.73)	No magnesium n/N 149/626 193/795 1421); 1 ² =76%	0.1 10 Favours magnesium	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl 0.82 [0.66, 1.02] 1.09 [0.92, 1.29] 0.95 [0.72, 1.26]

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	n/N n/N		M-H,Ra	ndom,95% Cl	M-H,Random,95% Cl
3 Loading (4 g) and higher-mai	ntenance dose (2-3 g/hour)	: tocolytic intent			
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	3/51			2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
Subtotal (95% CI)	55	51		-	2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
Total events: 8 (Magnesium), 3	(No magnesium)				
Heterogeneity: not applicable					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.40$	0 (P = 0.16)				
			I		
			0.1	1 10	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 4.6. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		
I Loading (4 g) and lowe	r-maintenance dose (I	g/hour)						
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626		•			59.4 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Magpie 2006	219/798	201/795			-		40.6 %	1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421			•		100.0 %	1.00 [0.91, 1.11]
Total events: 499 (Magne Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = I$. Test for overall effect: Z =	sium), 495 (No magne 74, df = 1 (P = 0.19); 1 = 0.07 (P = 0.94)	sium) 2 =42%						
			0.2	0.5	2	5		
			Favours m	agnesium	Favou	rs no magnesiu	ım	
Magnesium sulphate fo	or women at risk of	preterm birth for n	europrote	ction of	the fet	us (Review)	9

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
n/N		n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Loading (4 g) and lower-r	maintenance dose (1 g/hour)			
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626	=	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Magpie 2006	219/798	201/795	-	1.09 [0.92, 1.28]
			0.2 0.5 2 5	
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi	um

Analysis 4.7. Comparison 4 Dose subgroup, Outcome 7 Death or major neurological disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 7 Death or major neurological disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I Loading (4 g) and lowe	r-maintenance dose (I	g/hour)			
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626	+	47.9 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Magpie 2006	218/798	201/795	-	52.1 %	1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
Total (95% CI)	1427	1421	•	100.0 %	1.02 [0.90, 1.15]
Total events: 394 (Magne Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = I$. Test for overall effect: Z =	sium), 386 (No magne: 17, df = 1 (P = 0.28); F = 0.27 (P = 0.79)	sium) 2 =14%			
			Favours magnesium Favours no magnesi	um	

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 4 Dose subgroup

Outcome: 7 Death or major neurological disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	nesium No magnesium R		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
n/N		n/N	M-H,Fi	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	
I Loading (4 g) and lower-r	maintenance dose (1 g/hour)				
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626			0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Magpie 2006	218/798	201/795			1.08 [0.92, 1.27]
			0.2 0.5	2 5	
			Favours magnesium	Favours no magnesium	

Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome | Paediatric mortality (fetal and later).

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids

Outcome: I Paediatric mortality (fetal and later)

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% CI
Marret 2006	34/352	38/336	-	41.7 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]
Crowther 2003	87/629	107/626	•	55.8 %	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	0/51		2.5 %	5.79 [0.93, 266.72]
Total (95% CI) Total events: 129 (Magne Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1 Test for overall effect: Z =	1036 sium), 145 (No magne 08; Chi ² = 4.37, df = 2 = 0.50 (P = 0.62)	1013 esium) 2 (P = 0.11); I ² =54%	•	100.0 %	0.89 [0.57, 1.40]
			0.01 0.1 10 100 Favours magnesium Favours no magn	nesium	

Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 2 Cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids Outcome: 2 Cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Ri	sk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fixe	ed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	
Crowther 2003	36/629	42/626		-		55.1 %	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]	
Marret 2006	22/352	30/336		-		40.2 %	0.70 [0.41, 1.19]	
Mittendorf 2002	0/55	3/51	-	-	_	4.7 %	0.13[0.01, 2.51]	
Total (95% CI)	1036	1013		•		100.0 %	0.76 [0.54, 1.05]	
Total events: 58 (Magnesi	ium), 75 (No magnesium)							
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1$.	73, df = 2 (P = 0.42); I ² =	0.0%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.65 (P = 0.099)							
					1 L			
			0.01	0.1 1	10 100			
			Favours ma	gnesium	Favours no magne	esium		

Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 3 Neurologic impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids Outcome: 3 Neurologic impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N		Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		o Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	193/629	187/626			-		100.0 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Total (95% CI)	629	626		•	•		100.0 %	1.03 [0.87, 1.21]
Total events: 193 (Magne Heterogeneity: not appli Test for overall effect: Z	esium), 187 (No magnes cable = 0.31 (P = 0.75)	sium)						
			0.2	0.5	1 2	5		
			Favours ma	agnesium	Favou	rs no magnesi	ium	

Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 4 Major neurologic disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids Outcome: 4 Major neurologic disability

Risk Ratio Study or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio Weight n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% CI M-H,Fixed,95% Cl Crowther 2003 89/629 78/626 100.0 % 1.14 [0.86, 1.51] Total (95% CI) 629 626 100.0 % 1.14 [0.86, 1.51] Total events: 89 (Magnesium), 78 (No magnesium) Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) 0.2 0.5 2 5 Favours magnesium Favours no magnesium

Analysis 5.5. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 5 Death or cerebral palsy.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids

Outcome: 5 Death or cerebral palsy

Study or subgroup	udy or subgroup Magnesium No magnesium Risk Ratio		Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% Cl		M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	123/629	149/626		67.3 %	0.82 [0.66, 1.02]
Marret 2006	56/352	68/336		31.3 %	0.79 [0.57, 1.08]
Mittendorf 2002	8/55	3/51		1.4 %	2.47 [0.69, 8.81]
Total (95% CI)	1036	1013	•	100.0 %	0.83 [0.70, 0.99]
Total events: 187 (Magne	sium), 220 (No magne	sium)			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.5$	96, df = 2 (P = 0.23); I	2 =32%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 2.05 (P = 0.041)				
	× /				
			0.2 0.5 2 5		
			Favours magnesium	m	

Analysis 5.6. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 6 Death or neurological impairment.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids

Outcome: 6 Death or neurological impairment

Study or subgroup	Magnesium	No magnesium		Risk Ratio		io	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H,Fi>	ked,95%	CI		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
Crowther 2003	280/629	294/626		-			100.0 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Total (95% CI)	629	626		•			100.0 %	0.95 [0.84, 1.07]
Total events: 280 (Magnes	sium), 294 (No magne	sium)						
Heterogeneity: not applic	able							
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.87 (P = 0.38)							
			0.2	0.5	1 2	5		
			Favours m	agnesium	Favou	rs no magnesium		

Analysis 5.7. Comparison 5 High antenatal corticosteroids, Outcome 7 Death or major neurological disability.

Review: Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus

Comparison: 5 High antenatal corticosteroids

Outcome: 7 Death or major neurological disability

Study or subgroup	Magnesium n/N	No magnesium n/N	Risk Ra M-H,Fixed,955	tio % Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
Crowther 2003	176/629	185/626			100.0 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Total (95% CI)	629	626	•	1	00.0 %	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]
Total events: 176 (Magne Heterogeneity: not applie Test for overall effect: Z	esium), 185 (No magnes cable = 0.61 (P = 0.54)	sium)	.			
		Fav	0.2 0.5 2 <i>v</i> ours magnesium Favor	5 urs no magnesium		
WHAT'S N	IEW					
Last assessed as up-to	-date: 28 April 200	07				
Date		Event			Descrip	tion
24 April 2008	2008 Amended			Converted to new review format.		

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 1, 2004

Review first published: Issue 3, 2007

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Lex Doyle and Caroline Crowther wrote the protocol. Lex Doyle searched the literature, reviewed all possible trials for inclusion, extracted details of the studies' methods and results, entered the data into Review Manager, wrote the initial synthesis of the results, and contributed to all versions of the review. Caroline Crowther extracted details of the results and contributed to all versions of the review. Philippa Middleton searched the literature, extracted details of the studies' results, and contributed to all versions of the review. Stephane Marret searched the literature, extracted details of the studies' results, and contributed to the final version of the review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Two review authors (Lex Doyle and Caroline Crowther) are principal investigators in the Australasian Collaborative Trial of Magnesium Sulphate given as a neuroprotective prior to very preterm birth for the prevention of mortality and cerebral palsy in their babies (ACTOMgSO₄ - Crowther 1998). This trial is funded by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. One review author (Stephane Marret) is the principal investigator in the PREMAG study from France (Marret 2006). The results of these trials were assessed for inclusion and quality using the same criteria as all other potential studies.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The University of Adelaide, Australia.
- Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Australia.

External sources

• National Health and Medical Research Council, Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing, Australia.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Central Nervous System Diseases [*prevention & control]; Cerebral Palsy [mortality; prevention & control]; Fetal Death [*prevention & control]; Infant, Newborn; Infant, Newborn, Diseases [mortality; prevention & control]; Magnesium Sulfate [*therapeutic use]; Neuroprotective Agents [*therapeutic use]; *Premature Birth; Prenatal Care; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic

MeSH check words

Female; Humans; Pregnancy